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Chapter 1. Why Now and What’s Here?

If generals are urged to stay clear of fighting yesterday’s battles, a user’s guide like this should stay clear of the past and focus upon what’s NEW about vocabulary learning and why it’s important, hopeful, and energizing. Here are five current-relevance points to consider.

1. The triumph of standard worldwide American pronunciation English. . . . Call it SWAPE or Ameriphonics, the replacement of French by American pronunciation English at the opening of the 2009 Beijing Olympics, though strikingly impressive, came as no surprise to many Americans, especially those of us who have noticed steady improvements in the quality of our conversational interaction with offshore telephone personnel regarding technical matters.

   Though physically in Argentina, the Philippines, India, and mainland China, the language skills of offshore Ameriphones dramatically drive home the point that what we think of as “American” English is right now a pervasively international language pulling our planet together as a peaceable giant, not a potentially endless squabble between hostile Balkanized tribes.

   Some of the reasons for this triumph will come out later on, including the sheer size of the Ameriphone vocabulary.

2. The growth of personal-best achievement programs. . . . Twenty years ago Americans were encouraged to think of achievement in competitive terms: winning tennis matches, earning the highest grades, dominating a conversation, etc. Today, though, more and more of us are competing “against ourselves” in marathons, Iron Man competitions, and in working the New York Times crossword puzzle. As opposed to interactive education, these activities are defined by their emphasis upon measurable “test” performance — very much like Lewis Carroll’s Queen of Hearts and her shrill insistence upon “Execution first, then the trial!”
As we'll see, vocabulary testing and learning are highly attractive and practical personal best options for Ameriphones worldwide. This includes seniors and senior centers, especially those with spelling bees, crossword competitions, and general-knowledge contests — Jeopardy style.

3) The efficiency of electronic dictionaries. . . . The most striking feature of dictionary-based electronic testing and learning can fairly be called “definitionism.” Since our tests now parallel the definition-cue design of spelling bees and crosswords, this means that the electronic version of a dictionary like Random House College offers now functions as both a testing and a learning tool. This innovation stems from its two searching options (headword and definition), along with its high speed multi-clue access via the drag-and-drop feature.

Thus, as with turn-the-page searching, a headword input like cardiovascular will produce its entry. In addition, though, inputting a definition element like the field label anat. (for anatomy) will produce many headwords, including cardiovascular. Along the same lines, or inputting the category “Russian novelist” (crossworders take note) will produce a list that starts with Andreyev and ends with Turgenev.

As we’ll see, quite apart from banishing small print and sticky pages, the design and efficiency of America’s electronic dictionaries define them today as high quality LEARNING TOOLS, not just reference sources.

New speed reading technologies. . . . Nobody’s eyesight has ever been improved by staring into the sun for several hours. This is fundamentally what a computer screen compels readers to do, and this “eye drop world” is exactly what Kindle, Sony and other high tech readers are abolishing. Far more than a book-access revolution, these new hold-in-the-hand electronic books represent a reading-speed revolution by reviving Eric Gill’s readable-page design (34 ten-word lines).
The result: maximum reading speed that produces maximum choice and maximum learning impact for readers of all ages — especially those who are willing to trust the old adage: lege, lege, aliiquid haerebit (“read, read, something will stick”).

As we’ll see, since actual full-detail memorization is bound to vary idiosyncratically, our “reader friendly” tests need only measure sequence recollection, e.g., “Whom did Dorothy encounter first on the Yellow Brick Road?” But cumulatively, especially at a non-fearful pace of 600 words a minute, the growth in vocabulary is always immense, measurably so — especially when the reader chooses narrative nonfiction over chaotically sequenced textbooks.

(5) The scientific authority of American metrology. . . . Our most famous American metrologist (literally, a measurement scientist) was W. Edwards Deming, who from 1930 to 1946 developed his craft at the U.S. National Bureau of Standards, which has since been renamed as the National Institute of Standards and Technology, paralleling the renaming of “weights and measures” in states like California as the Division of Measurement Standards.

As we’ll see, just as our Fahrenheit and Celsius scales represent authoritative measurement standards that can be calibrated, so the American dictionary offers exactly the kind of international authority that today’s learners need. Important though our new technologies are to vocabulary learning, it’s our recognition of the American dictionary as a metrological tool that will breathe energy and integrity into the use of this book — productively and measurably.

FIVE VOCABULARY IMPROVEMENT TARGETS. . . . Based on a standard unabridged dictionary like the Random House Unabridged Dictionary, the basic Ameriphone vocabulary, excluding proper names and spoken coinages, can be authoritatively stated as comprising 1.2 million headword-definition combinations. As we’ll see, though, our dictionaries vary in the vocabulary “grades” they cover, very much like metrologists evaluating different grades of beef. Given our range of Ameriphone speakers, this variation invites a chapter by chapter examination.
Chapter 2) *Measuring and increasing elementary-grade vocabulary size*. . . . This is meant for use with elementary size dictionaries, especially those distributed to third graders in the USA by the Dictionary Project (now over 10 million. each year). Practically considered, each of these meets the metrological requirements of *authority* (a specific internationally acceptable dictionary) and *calibration* (a focus upon headword-definition combinations).

The 40-item vocabulary test in this chapter can be handled by many third graders as highly challenging, especially those who enjoy *definitional guessing* on the order of “Which headword in the Random House large print dictionary is accompanied by more definitions — REPUBLIC or STATE? Parents surprised and delighted by the vocabulary-size results should remember that the headword-definition dictionary-sampling procedure can be replicated by anyone, including a "spelling bee" examiner.

Chapter 3) *Measuring and increasing college-grade vocabulary size*. . . . This chapter, using the Random House College Dictionary as its authority, presents a 140-test, and produces surprisingly high results. Its headword-definition “silent spelling bee” test questions will work just as well with other reputable Ameriphone college dictionaries, e.g., Webster’s New World, Merriam Webster, and American Heritage.

As opposed to our preceding chapter, this one devotes plenty of space to using our dictionaries as learning tools, especially their high speed electronic versions.

Chapter 4) *Measuring and increasing the mastery of 162 high tech vocabulary fields*. This chapter takes advantage of subject-field searching as a tool for constructing both study lists, tests, and memorization strategies, along with lexico-statistical techniques for determining headword familiarity and question difficulty. For Ameriphone learners at every level it raises the question, “Why buy an expensive, minimum-coverage vocabulary book when an American dictionary
can give much more memory-friendly information at a much higher access speed (thanks to its drag-and-drop cross reference capabilities)?

This chapter is unique in listing almost 200 general usage technical fields and their actual in-use abbreviations, e.g., biol (not bio) for BIOLOGY.

Chapter 5) *Measuring and increasing famous-name vocabulary size*. . . . This chapter recognizes that the size of our famous-name vocabulary is just as important to our reading speed comprehension as the size of our headword-definition vocabulary. Practically considered it covers the same ground as recent celebrations of Cultural Literacy and Power Knowledge. But its concentration upon proper names meets the metrological requirements of dictionary authority (i.e., the Merriam Webster 30,000-name biographical dictionary) and calibration), including ranking the importance of each name by the number of lines in its specific entry).

Many Ameriphone learners will get demonstrably impressive results from the 665-name list presented in this chapter.

Chapter 6) *Measuring and increasing high-speed nonfiction narrative reading achievement*. . . .

Total-recall tests certainly belong in a textbook setting where an overall reading speed of 100 words a minute (12 pages an hour) offers plenty of time for highlighting, cross checking, and sustained concentration. But a nationwide infatuation with total-recall tests, especially those which target fiction reading, has nudged two generations back to an overall move-the-lips reading speed of 350 words a minute. Hence the desirability of *reader-friendly tests* that simply monitor whether or not a reader, assuming an overall rate of 600 wpm, has given a reasonable amount of attention to each page.

As indicated by experiments with ESL students, nonfiction narrative, especially relatively short biographies, offers the highest level of “famous name” and technical-term testable memory impact. This chapter therefore presents a list of 450 reading-friendly “famous name” biographies — each of them ideal for
learning-centered adults — along with techniques for constructing low cost reader-friendly
tests.

Chapter 7) **Measuring and increasing textual memorizing and recitation skills.** . . . From childhood
on, the next step up from learning proper names and individual words is that of learning poems
and other texts by heart (“complex words,” William Empson famously called them). This chapter
draws upon the recent success of Poetry Out Loud, a program developed by the National
Endowment for the Arts and the Poetry Foundation, as support for our "Queen of Hearts"
emphasis upon metrologically sound testing. Practically considered, it invites the inclusion of
poetry memorization and recitation, along with vocabulary growth and physical fitness, in any long
term personal best program.

**READER FLEXIBILITY.** . . . What’s here is a multi-use tool kit, not a fully
developed theory of dictionary-based electronic testing and learning. This means that chapters 2-
6 are designed to stand on their own, enough so that learners concerned primarily with
conventional vocabulary testing need not necessarily explore the chapters on famous names and
high speed reading.

Overall, though, I feel what’s here is as a whole faithful to the tenets of modern metrology
and to the memory of W. Edwards Deming. If what’s here works for individual learners — and I
think it will — it is due to Deming and other champions of transparent, verifiable measurement in
what has increasing become a worldwide test-taking civilization. If there’s a message in this
book, that’s what it is, and I earnestly hope many readers will pass that message along to their
friends. . . . enthusiastically!

***

***
Chapter 2. Measuring and Increasing Elementary-Grade Vocabulary Size

With over two million internet hits on “vocabulary size” (Mar. 4, 2010), is there any American who hasn’t wept bitter tears over the inadequacy, presumed or actual, of his or her vocabulary? Understandably so, given a national climate of uncertainty and obfuscation that kicked in with the Thorndike and Lorge vocabulary counts in the thirties, accelerated with Dr. Seuss in the 60s, and has now reached maximum giddiness with the British National Corpus, which counts IT’S as a separate word, not as a contraction of It is.

LOOKING FORWARD. . . . What’s here makes the learning-tool case in a number of ways, starting with a vocabulary-size test based upon an authoritative Ameriphone dictionary of under 40,000 headword-definition combinations. It also includes a description of basic metrology theory (authoritative standards, calibration, and testing) and its relationship to Zipf-influenced lexicology (headword-definition combinations, “long tail” variations in word frequency, principle of least effort, etc.)

At a time when Ameriphonics is rapidly becoming a global language, I believe what’s here deserves attention from both ends of the vocabulary table: children who are beginning to learn words and senior citizens who are beginning to go blank on them. In the long run, as Ferdinand de Saussure and Roman Jacobson have both pointed out, it’s vocabulary, not grammar, that shapes our recognition of similarity and thus our rational awareness of the world in which we live and work.

To measure vocabularies, or at least try, is to take the measure of ourselves, along with the social universe that houses us all — productively so.

2A How to Construct and Use Dictionary Based Headword-Definition Tests. . . . The first part of what’s here is intended to present a complete picture of how to construct and use dictionary-based headword-definition tests. It begins with a specific ready-to-use vocabulary test based upon a specific dictionary, which is
followed by its answer key. It then moves on to describe how tests like these can be constructed and put to productive social use on a number of levels.

2A1 An Illustrative 40-Question Vocabulary Test. . . . This test of vocabulary size bears comparison with other tests regulated and approved by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (formerly the National Bureau of Standards). In metrological terms this means that what’s here is (a) based upon an authoritative grading standard (standard lexicographical practice as represented by the Random House dictionary group), (b) the capability of being calibrated, i.e., classifying and ranking words via clearly defined variables, and (c) testable in a thrifty, transparent, and replicable manner.

DIRECTIONS FOR TEST TAKERS. . . . Each of the following 40 test items, very much like a spelling bee, asks you to identify a specific headword-definition combination in the Random House Large Print Dictionary (RHLP) on the basis of (a) its pronunciation, as represented by keyboard characters in slant lines; (b) its part of speech via abbreviation (e.g., *n.* “noun”); and (c) a specific definition, exactly as it appears in RHLP, including its numerical sequence where relevant. The notation $dn_2$, for example, indicates the definition is identified in the entry as number two.

By way of illustration For example, the test item /ad/, *v.t.* $dn_2$ “find the sum (of)” would link up with the headword “add,” and so would a completely different test item /ad/, *v.t.* “unite or join” (the absence of a numeral indicates a “number one” definition. As in a conventional spelling bee, the correct answer would be the 3-letter word ADD. . . . The phonetic characters used to represent the pronunciation of headword will probably be unfamiliar to most test takers at first. But they quickly begin to make sense (e.g., /breedh/ represents “breathe”, and they are certainly more helpful to test takers than the number-of-letter clues we encounter in crossword puzzles.

2A2. Test Taking Directions. . . . Please spell the headwords (represented phonetically) whose entries contain the following part-of-speech designations
(v.=verb, n=noun, adj= adjective), followed by a corresponding definitions. The headword-definition combinations have been chosen at random from the Random House Large Print Dictionary. Definitions which do not begin an entry are identified by their numerical headings, e.g. d6 for "definition six."

1) /euh dapt", v. t adjust to
2) /euh non"euh meuhs/, adj by someone unnamed
3) /ah"yeuh toh"leuh/, n chief Muslim leader
4) /best/, v. d6 to get the better of; defeat
5) /breed/, v d4 whisper
6) /kahr"dn l/, v. d4 high official of Roman Catholic Church
7) /serr"keuhm loh kyooh"sheuhn/, n roundabout expression
8) /keuhn duk"teuhr/, n. d4 substance that conveys
9) /kruym/, n. d1 unlawful act
10) /di ling"kweuhnt/, adj. d1 neglectful, guilty
11) /dis sat"is fuy'/, v. t. make discontented
12) /eg"nog/, n. drink containing eggs, milk, etc.
13) /ik suyt"/, v. t. d2 cause
14) /fish/, n., pl d1 aquatic vertebrate
15) /gay"beuhl/, n triangular wall from eaves to roof ridge
16) /green"hows'/, n. building where plants are grown
17) /herrts/, n. radio frequency of one cycle of second
18) /im"ij/, n. d1 likeness
19) /in shoor"/, v. d2 guarantee payment in case of harm to or loss of
20) /keep/ v. d5 withhold
21) /lim"beuhr/, adj. d1 flexible; supple
22) /man"pow'euhr/, n. labor force
23) /min"euhm/, n. smallest unit of liquid measure
24) /myooht/, v. d5 deaden sound of
25) /oh ay"sis/, n. fertile place in desert
26) /oh'veuhr stayt"/, v.t exaggerate in describing
27) /peuhr am"byeuh lay'teuhr/, n baby carriage
28) /plej/, v. promise
29) /pray/, v. victimize another
30) /kwawrts/, n. a crystalline mineral

31) /ri luy"euh beuhl/, adj. trustworthy
32) /rownd"werrm/’, n. nematode that invests intestines of mammals
33) /skut"l/, n. d2 coal bucket
34) /shril/, adj high pitched; piercing
35) /seuh suy"i tee/, n d1 group of persons with common interests
36) /stand/, v. d1 rise or be upright
37) /suk"yeuh leuhnt/, adj. juicy
38) /tang"goh/, n. Spanish-American dance
39) /tuyd/, n. d2 stream
40) /truy"euhl/, n. d3 attempt

***

ANSWER KEY TO THE 2A TEST. . . . 1) adapt. . . . 2) anonymous. . . . 3) ayatollah. . . . 4) best. . . . 5) breathe [note: the dh/th phonetic contrast distinguishes between THY and THIGH]. . . “vocalic” . . 6) cardinal [this system uses the /l/ to represent the syllable /eul/]. . . 7) circumlocution. . . . 8) conductor. . . . 9) crime 10) delinquent . . . 11) dissatisfaction. . . (12) eggnog. . . . 13) excite. . . . 14) fish. . . . 15) gable. . . . 16) greenhouse. . . . 17) hertz. . . . 18) image. . . . 19) insure. . . . 20) keep. . . . 21) limber. . . . 22) manpower. . . . 23) minim. . . . 24) mute. . . . 25) oasis. . . . 26) overstate. . . . 27) perambulator. . . . 28) pledge. . . . 30) quartz. . . . 31) reliable. . . . 32) roundworm. . . . 33) scuttle. . . . 34) shrill. . . . 3) society. . . . 36) stand. . . . 37) succulent. . . . 38) tango. . . . 39) tide. . . . 40) trial. . . .
NOTE. These 40 one-word answers represent 40 randomly chosen from the 32,000 headword-definition combinations in the Random House Large Print Dictionary via the formula, "every fifth HDC on the 40 pages 10, 30, 50, 70, 90, etc. on up to and closing with page 800."

HOW TO COMPUTE VOCABULARY SIZE. . . . As previously notes, the Random House Large Print Dictionary has 32,000 headword-definition combinations. Since the headwords have been randomly selected, a score of 30 correct answers (80% of the total 40) would indicate a vocabulary of 25,600 (80% of 32,000), just as a 90% score would indicate a vocabulary of 28,800. . . . To some, vocabulary-size computations like these may seem unduly high. But remember, what’s counted are headword-definition combinations, not single words. In the last five years, incidentally, dictionary publishers have begun to state their number of definitions explicitly.

2A3 Translation of TRANSLATION OF A1 TEST INTO MULTIPLE-CHOICE FORMAT WITH THREE VERSIONS. . . . Any one-word answer can be translated into a multiple-choice format by asking for a spelling-vowel answer in lieu of a one-word answer, e.g., "Please indicate the FIRST spelling-vowel letter of each target word in section A1a by choosing one of the following (A) (E) (I) (O) (U, also standing for "none of these"), e.g., A for Q38 (tango), I for Q39 (tide), and I for Q40 (trial)

Along the same lines, we can create a second translation by changing our spelling-vowel request to SECOND, and a third by changing it to THREE. Although these multiple-choice translations encourage more guessing, they also open the door to low-cost group testing. Here by way of illustration are the one-word answers to our A1a test, each followed by its "second spelling vowel" answer — A, E, I, O, U (also standing for "none of these.").

2A4. MULTIPLE CHOICE ANSWER KEY. . . .Word answers and "second letter" answers for large groups
How the 2A Test Was Constructed

If justice delayed is justice denied, as the saying goes, then time-efficiency test construction is our best defense against corruption in education, government, and the workplace. Hence the importance of explaining how a 40-item test like A1 can be constructed at a time-cost of only one minute per test item.

STEP ONE: Locate the first test item’s dictionary position (e.g., the fifth HDC in the first column of page 10 of RHLP). Then enter its item number and transcribe the headword (e.g., 1. adapt).

STEP TWO: Locate the test item’s phonetic transcription in the Random House unabridged electronic dictionary; then drag-n drop it and position it immediately after the headword, including its part of speech (e.g., /eu J ust'/, v.f. . . . .

STEP THREE: Locate the test item’s definition in RHLP, copy it, and position it after the headword’s part of speech designation, e.g., adjust to. Then repeat these three steps until you have produced your 40-item test work sheet (usually less than 40 minutes). The result will work well as a question sheet in the hands of a questioner, spelling bee style.

NOTE: To produce an answer key for a written test, copy the original sheet, retain the headwords and their item numbers, and delete the rest (part of speech, phonetics, definition). To produce a question sheet for a written test, copy the original, delete the headwords, and retain the rest (item number,
phonetic transcription, part of speech, and definition (including its definition numeral if called for).

This three-step sequence assumes the test designer has created a random-selection procedure that distinguishes between headword-definition entries and other kinds of entries (proper names, phrases, etc.), along with distinguishing between single-definition and multiple-definition headword-definition entries.

2A6 Recognizing headword-definition dictionary entries . . . To echo Tolstoy, all dictionaries are lexicographically happy in the same way. This means that they present all entry words in bold print and position them at the far left side of each column or page. It also means that headword-definition entries are clearly identifiable via lower case (proper names are capitalized), phonetic transcriptions (abbreviations lack these), and “word status,” i.e., separated by spaces in writing (this rules out phrases like “high school.”

Most headword-definition dictionary entries, especially the high tech terms in college size and unabridged dictionaries, contain only one definition. Frequently used headwords, however, often have two or more definitions, each of which is identified numerically in boldface. Our 40-item worksheet, for example, presents two questions based on multiple definition entries: 4) /best/, v. d6 [for “definition 6”] to get the better of; defeat and 5) /breedh/, v d4 [for “definition 4”] whisper.

2B About Keyboard Phonetics . . . Our question-a-minute testing system requires the use of a phonetic transcription system that uses keyboard characters, not the dots and squiggles of 19th century phoneticians like Henry Sweet (1845-1915) the founder of IPA, a friend of George Bernard Shaw, and the model for madcap Henry Higgins in “My Fair Lady.” Hence the need for using either the Random House WordGenius® transcription system or those of those currently in use by foreign language textbooks (Berlitz, Cortina, etc.).

Hence also the desirability of actually checking how well IPA-based systems work, especially with respect to special symbols like boldfaced symbols for syllabic stress, e.g., | vs. | — a contrast which does not show up in the medical
dictionary used by the National Institutes on Health, along with many American college size dictionaries.

To sum up for the moment: Metrologically, these dictionary based tests have solid authority; linguistically their focus upon headword-definition combinations will make sense to any crossword puzzler; ophthalmologically they can be read, comprehended, and transcribed twice as fast as our 19th century holdovers.

To blast the trumpet for a moment. . . At a time when “phonics” is still an educational buzzword, our national leaders — not just educators — should give far more attention to basic learning tools like dictionaries, keyboard-compatible phonetic alphabets, and vocabulary-size testing.

2B1. Constructing Tests for the Elementary Dictionary-Grade. . . . In terms of metrology, apart from its simplicity, the most attractive feature of our “question a minute” construction system is its use of a authoritative standards, namely, the Random House Large Print Dictionary and the phonetics system of the Random House unabridged electronic dictionary (WordGenius®).

Practically considered, this feature means that our system can use almost any American dictionary as a testing instrument for measuring vocabulary size in a specific grade as long as there is a practical relationship between individual scores and the number of actual headword-definition combinations in the dictionary source.

In plain language, the above means that knowing how many headword-definition combinations actually appear in RHLP (32,000) permits us to infer that a test taker achieving a 70% score on our 40-item test (24 right) probably would achieve a 70% score on a similarly designed 320-item test (224) or — theoretically, at least — on a test comprising all of the 32,000 word-definition combinations in RHLP, thereby justifying a claim that such a test taker has a personal testable vocabulary of 22,400 HDCs.

This claim is supported by our test’s random-selection design, which calls for the selection of every fifth HDC from the left hand column of every thirtieth page in RHLP, starting with p. 10 and closing with page 800 of RHLP. Going further,
we would also expect to see similar score distributions in random tests based upon other
dictionaries in the elementary “grade” category, i.e., Ameriphone dictionaries ranging in
coverage from 40,000 HDCs down to at least 20,000 HDCs.

It’s worth noting here that the DictionaryProject.org currently distributes over 10 million of
these elementary-grade dictionaries to American third graders via participation from Kiwanis,
Rotary, and many other service organizations. A single “grade,” consistent randomization, access
and use by youngsters for at least 5 years, multiple participation by publishers, schools, and
service organizations — thanks to the DictionaryProject.org, almost any American home can right
now determine the size of their children’s vocabularies in less than 20 minutes using their
“elementary grade” home dictionaries and the tools presented in subsections A1a and A1b.

2B2. . . .Metrology, Calibration, and Multiple Grades. . . . As might be expected for an
Ameriphone word that entered the language between 1505 and 1515, GRADE has a number of
definitions, the earliest of which emphasize notions of classification by degree, e.g., our four
highest grades of beef: prime, choice, select, and standard.

As with students in the same school grade, these classifications each cover a range of
variation within limits, and therefore invite the classification of Ameriphone dictionaries in four
basic degrees: Unabridged (from 1.2 million headword-definition combinations up to 2.4 million);
College (from 80,000 HDCs to 1.2 million); Desk (from 40,000 HDCs to 80,000), and Elementary
(20,000 up to 40,000).

This range of HDC-coverage means that any measurement of vocabulary size is meaningless
if it does not specify the dictionary grade, the specific dictionary within that grade, its total number
of HDCs, the number of HDCs in the measurement sample, and the specific score/s of those
whose vocabulary size is being computed.
To some educators and psychometrists, these requirements may come across as unfair and time-consuming. But as Dr. Cassell’s “Lake Wobegone” findings indicate, metrologically sound requirements like these will go far in rebuilding global confidence in both Ameriphone dictionaries and Ameriphone education.

USING DICTIONARY-BASED TESTS AS ELECTRONIC LEARNING TOOLS. . . . Part of learning is learning how to guess, especially when our mind blanks out on correct answers. Using Q1 and Q2 from our opening test, here’s how to increase your guessing skills by using your electronic dictionary’s resources.

1) /euh dapt*/, v.t adjust to. . . . USING THE DEFINITION BOX: Simply input the definition (adjust to). If you’re lucky, as in this example, the entry for ADJUST will appear, including the highlighted phrase adjust to, which appears as part of definition 6 for the headword ADAPT.

2) /euh non* euh meuhs/, adj by someone unnamed. . . . USING THE HEADWORD BOX: Although this target doesn’t work with the definition box, your knowledge of the phonetics system (see Ch. 3) will equip you to guess that /euh/ might stand for the letter A and that the second syllable would stand for NON. And sure enough, inputting anon* (using the asterisk to signal the input is incomplete), your electronic dictionary will cough up ANONYMOUS.

It’s worth noting here that the Scripps National Spelling Bee emphasize this kind of “intelligent guessing” as a key step in mastering their target group of 800 “rare” words, most of them with only one definition.

***

***
Chapter 3. Measuring and Increasing College Grade Vocabulary Size

As pointed out earlier, what’s here is a blast of the trumpet, not a full concerto. There’s nothing original about its primary focus, namely, Ameriphone dictionaries. Nor is there anything original about its basic ideas. Assaulting the notion that words “have meanings” (as opposed to forming word-meaning partnerships) is still standard practice for most American linguistics, thanks to Uriel Weinrich and George Kingsley Zipf.

3A1 Access. . . . An Ameriphone dictionary, as Andrew Carnegie put it regarding free libraries, gives the user nothing for nothing. The Random House Large Print, for instance, offers over 800 pages of vocabulary knowledge in a learnable, testable form. Yet its low price of eighteen dollars is valueless without the measurable expenditure of time in actual study, be it checking a spelling, concentrating upon a list of headword-definition learning targets, or intelligent guessing.

As far as the time element goes, incidentally, it may be worth testing the acceptability of a statement like “Concentration trumps Intelligence seven days a week!” I’ve yet to find an American of any age who disputes this somewhat obvious assertion.

By way of the time factor. The 40 items in A1, our first illustrative example, took less than an hour to write up and print. Yet any parent could use those two pages as a highly legitimate “spelling bee” for measuring a youngster’s vocabulary size. Going further, using those same pages as study materials, any parent or home schooler could assign those 40 words, assuming 5 minutes of study per word, to a youngster as an independent study calling for a total effort of 200 minutes, or 3.3 hours and a monetary reward commensurate with that effort.

In this connection it’s worth noting that China’s educators are beginning to adopt “credit hour” study time accountancy, e.g., three “Carnegie” units of credit calling for 135 hours inside and outside of class from an average-ability student.
More ambitiously, it's also worth noting that the 800 “exotic” words in each year’s Scripps National Spelling Bee at a “hard work” rate of 10 minutes per word call for 133 hours of honest study time — directly echoing our three Carnegie units.

At a time when both high schools and colleges are still Carnegie unit bookkeepers, educators can easily and thriftily reduce expenses by increasing outside study time by students and testing the results. The records of Cal State University, for instance, currently show that the average student working at a 20 hour per week simultaneously carries a 12-unit course load (family insurance coverage require this). The same records show that these students also earn an average grade of B+ — theoretically and officially a 60-hour work week (20 + 40) that is patently impossible and would not in years past have survived traditional audits as conducted by the California State Department of Finance.

Anti-metrologists would probably be horrified at the thought of running California education like a meat market. But most citizens would probably welcome a return to metrologically responsible measurement, along with the thesis that good learning is more measurable than good teaching and less expensive — especially when it’s accessible worldwide!

3A2 Scope. . . . Be it meter or binary digit, a single authoritative standard of measurement can be applied to a very large range of targets, be they large or small. We’ve already seen how our headword-definition combination can be used to measure the size of dictionaries and permit us to place them in four grades: unabridged, college size, desk, and elementary. Given the international status of Ameriphone dictionaries, let us consider what other kinds of measurement we can use our headword-definition combinations for.

WORD FREQUENCY. . . . Headwords themselves are composed of letters which in turn, depending upon their frequency of use, are subject to Zipf’s Principle of Least Effort. Hence an Ameriphone dictionary can be converted into a de facto frequency list based on two features: (a) number of letters (e.g., 4-letter words are used more than 14-letter words), and (b) number of definitions.
(words with 20 definitions are used more frequency via Zipf’s “long tail” principle, recently popularized by Chris Anderson.

PHONETICS AND PHONEMES. . . . As noted earlier, the use of non-keyboard characters can fairly be described as vision-unfriendly and user-unfriendly. In addition the use of audio phonetics can fairly be described as “Asian unfriendly.” Asian learners come from “tone language” backgrounds in which variations in relative pitch distinguish one word from another.

Hence Asian learners are often confused by the random variations in pitch they hear in audio pronunciations, e.g., really versus really? Hence the desirability of representing the individual speech sound in sequence in both audio and phonetic form,

By way of illustration, here’s how the Random House electronic version, which includes phoneme by phoneme pronunciation help, represents the word SEQUENCE. . . ./see” kweuhns [s as in see” /see/, ee as in be /bee/] [k as in keep /keep/ w as in away /euh way”/ euh as in along /euh lohn”/ n as in now / s as in see /see/ . . . . For the learner this representation cues a slow assembly of separate parts: S-EE-K-W-EUH-N-S. But the computer processing is incredibly fast, since only 54 separate symbols are involved, as opposed to 315,000 full-word phonetic representations.

Practically considered, this feature means far less disc space (only 14.7 mb, as opposed to 600mb for the American Heritage), which means much faster processing, along with enabling small-print college and unabridged dictionaries to be used as high speed learning tools.

SUPPLEMENTARY ENTRY INFORMATION. . . . If headword-definition combinations, single or numbered, are the core of true lexical entry, the rest of the apple is filled with special-purpose nourishment. Parts of speech, derivative words, etymologies, date of entry into the language, cross references — these features ensure that a dictionary entry today is far more informative and learner-friendly than a conventional study list.
SPECIAL FIELD IDENTIFICATION. . . . In addition an entry, usually via italics, will identify special features of specific numbered definitions. One important identification feature is the technical field label. For SEQUENCE, cf. d5 Music, d6 Liturgy, d8 Cards, d9 Genetics, d10 Math., d12 Biochem. Since most electronic dictionaries offer access to a “word within all definitions,” these field labels can serve as vocabulary study lists by offering students access to ALL the words whose definitions include one with a specific field label, e.g., the 248 headwords which will be called up by inputting GENETICS, i.e., accessory chromosome to zygosity.

ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES FOR DEFINITIONS. . . . Since many definitions also include sentences or phrases illustrating a specific meaning, often a figurative, e.g., D11 HEAD to bring matters to a head (cited to illustrate the definition, “a culminating point, usually of a critical nature; crisis or climax,” they themselves can be used as questions to test a speaker’s awareness of figurative language, e.g., “What does the expression, “to bring matters to a head mean” to you? as opposed to the expression, “Two heads are better than one.”

DICTIONARY QUESTIONS AND EDUCATIONAL ACCOUNTANCY. . . . American education — officially, at least — still marches to the beat of a clock, not just a drum. This means that students at every level earn credit on the basis of test scores and the aggregate time (inside and outside of the classroom) presumably spent in preparing to earn those test scores.

Consequently, since we can reasonably estimate the average time an average ability student will need to learn an average difficulty headword-definition combination as five minutes, e.g., the 67 hours customarily assumed as necessary to achieve 70% accuracy on tests covering the 800-word requirement of the Scripps National Spelling Bee. Given the acceptability of such an estimate, any dictionary based learning/testing program can fairly presume to offer academic credit, even on the elementary level.

As should be apparent, the implications of what’s been set forth here are quite serious, especially for American educators. I feel what’s called for is first of all a
metrological examination of our current dictionary resources, print and electronic, by an
appropriate government agency, ideally by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

This study should be followed by a professional assessment of the possibilities of dictionary
based electronic learning and testing with particular attention to its cost effectiveness in
tomorrow’s “thin city” climate for American education, ideally by a first rate university, e.g.,
George Washington University in the D.C. area.

3B College-grade dictionaries, headword-definition combinations, and vocabulary-size
testing. . . . Can anyone deny that the daily crossword puzzle, especially those of the Los
Angeles Times and the New York Times, represents an informal measure of vocabulary size and
fluency? If not, why do upscale intellectuals persist in trumpeting their completion times to all who
will listen, e.g. 5 minutes for easy Monday, 15 minutes for pencil-chewing Sunday (some do it in
un-erasable ink). In the interests of adding verifiable luster to this kind of achievement, here’s an
authoritative do-it-yourself test to take.

Guided by the requirements of modern metrology, the science of measurement as practiced
by our National Institute for Standards and Technology, our test uses and authoritative standard,
i.e., the WordGenius electronic version of the Random House College Dictionary (1427 pp.),
which comprises 56,000 headword-definition combinations. As crossworders and lexicographers
all know, familiar words often have many different definitions (the RHC lists over a hundred for
HEAD). So ordinary words like head can have over a hundred numbered definitions). So our
standard has far more transparency and integrity than the Dr. Seuss word counts currently
employed by many professional educators.

The first item is our testing packet is a test containing 140 items randomly selected (the fifth HDC
on every tenth page), followed by a pronunciation key for those unfamiliar with the “keyboard
friendly” symbols used. The second item is a
double-duty answer key: the headword itself for a “silent spelling bee” and a “second spelling-vowel letter for groups requiring multiple-choice answers. The size of each participant’s vocabulary calls for multiplying 56,000 by the percentage of correct answers, e.g. a score of 98 (70%) would indicate a vocabulary of 39,400 headword-definition combinations.

This kind of test can be thrifty constructed with any authoritative college dictionary (e.g., Merriam Webster, Webster’s New World and American Heritage). So in time it will soon put to use in many Ameriphone schools, especially in Asia. For the present, though, it demands attention by American crossworders, who are prime examples of a great civilization’s greatest asset — people who love its vocabulary and learn it.

3B 140 Test Questions. . . . Suitable for “silent spelling bee” single-word answers OR “second letter spelling vowel. . . “second letter A” = (a), E = (b), I = (c), O = (d) U or “none of these” = (e)

1..10/5 (1/1) /euhsee"dee euh/ n. sloth; spiritual torpor or indifference; apathy. 2..20/6 (1/3) /ee"jis/ n. sponsorship; auspices.
3.. 30/6 (2/3) /al"keuhhawl", -hol' n. an intoxicating liquor containing this liquid. 4...40/5 (2/3) /euhmend"meuhnt/ n. an alteration or addition, as to a bill. 5..50/6 (1/3) /an"lz/ n.pl. a record of events, esp. a yearly record, usu. in chronological order.
6..60/6 (1/1) /euhpawrt", euhpohrt"/ adv. on or toward the port side of a ship. 7..70/5 (1/1) /euhres"ting/ adj. attracting or capable of attracting attention or interest; striking.
8..80/6 (1/1) /aytoh nal"i tee/ n. music composed without reference to traditional tonality and employing the chromatic pitches on a free and equal basis.
9..90/6 (1/1) /bah"bah, -beuh/, n. a small yeast cake often containing raisins, usu. served soaked in a rum syrup.
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10..100/6 (1/2) /bahr"beree, -beuhree/, n., a shrub of the genus Berberis, esp. B. vulgaris, having yellow flowers in elongated clusters.

11..110/5 (1/2) /bi kawz", -koz", -kuz"/ conj. for the reason that; due to the fact that.

12..120/6 (1/1) /bid"ing/ n. command; summons

13..130/7 (1/2) /blas"teuhderm'/ n. the primitive layer of cells that results from the segmentation of the ovum.

14..140/20 1/1 /bond"woom'euhn/, n. a female slave.

15..150/5 1/1 /brah vis"euhmoh"/ interj. (used to express the highest praise to a performer.)

16..160/9[2] 1/5 /beuhfay"/ n. a sideboard or cabinet for holding china, table linen, etc.

17..170/14 (1/2) /kab'euhl yair"oh, -euhlair"oh/, n. a Spanish gentleman.

18..180/14 (1/1) /keuhnawr"euhs, -nohr"-/ adj. melodious; musical.

19..190/8 (1/2) /kas"ti gayt'/, v.t., to criticize or reprimand severely.

20..200/12 (1/3) n. /seuhr tif"i kit/; a document providing evidence of status or qualifications.

21..210/9 (1/1) /chows, chowsh/, n. (in the Ottoman Empire) a court official who served as an ambassador, emissary, or member of a ceremonial escort.

22..220//8 (1/1) /sin'euhmeuhtek"/ n. a motion-picture theater showing experimental or historically important films.

23..230/5 (1/5) /klohn/, n. cell, cell product, or organism genetically identical to the unit or individual from which it was asexually derived.

24..240/6 (1/1) /kol"eeg/ n. an associate; fellow worker or fellow member of a profession.

25..250/5 (1/3) /keuhm pen"dee euhm/, n. a brief treatment or account of a subject, esp. an extensive subject.

26..260/5 (1/10) n., adj. /keuhn glom"euhr it, n. anything composed of heterogeneous materials or elements.
27..270/9 (1/2) /kon"treuhverr'see/, n. a public dispute concerning a matter of opinion.
28..280/5 (2/2) /koz mol"euhjee/ n. the branch of astronomy that deals with the general structure and evolution of the universe.
29..290/6 (1/2) /kray"fish'/, n. also called crawdad, crawdaddy. any of various mainly freshwater decapod crustaceans, esp. of the genera Astacus and Cambarus, resembling small lobsters.
30..300/5 4/6 /kub/ n. a young person serving as an apprentice.
31..310/5 1/3 /suy"preuhs/ n. any of several evergreen coniferous trees, having dark-green, scalelike, overlapping leaves.
32..320/7 (1/3) /dek"ayd/ n. a period of ten years.

33..330/5 3/8 /dem'euhn stray"sheuhn/ n. a description or explanation, as of a process, illustrated by examples, specimens, or the like.
34..340/8 /2 /dek"steuhr/ adj. on the right side; right.
35..350/5 2/5 /di rek"teuhree/ n. a board or tablet on a wall of a building listing the location of the occupants.
36..360/6 1/2 /duy'euhret"ik/ adj. increasing the volume of the urine excreted.
37..370/5 1/2

/draw"down'/ n. a lowering of water surface level, as in a well.
39..390/5 1/1 /ek"lawg/ n. n. a pastoral poem, often in dialogue form.
40..400/5 3a/3 /i lip"ti keuhl/ adj. characterized by extreme economy of expression in speech or writing.

41..410/1 1/1 /en playn"/, v.i, to board an airplane.
42..420/9 1/4 /i skayp"meuhnt/ n. the portion of a watch or clock that measures beats and controls the speed of the going train.
43..430/5 1/2 /ek"suyz, n. an internal tax or duty on certain commodities, as liquor or tobacco, levied on their manufacture, sale, or consumption within the country.
44..440/17 2/6 /fab"rik/ n. the texture of a cloth or material.
45. /fawn/ n. any of a class of ancient Roman deities of the countryside, identified with the satyrs of Greek myth.

46. /fil"euhmeuhnt/ n. the stalklike portion of a stamen, supporting the anther.

47. /flee"werrt', -wawrt'/ n. a European plantain, Plantago psyllium, having seeds that are used in medicine.

48. /foot"bawl'/ n. a problem over which various parties debate continually

49. /fray/ n. a fight; skirmish; conflict.

50. /fyoor"awr/ n. a general outburst of enthusiasm, excitement, controversy, or the like.

51. /geuhvot"/ n. an old French dance in moderately quick quadruple meter.

52. /jin/, n. to snare (game).

53. /good/, adj. morally excellent; virtuous; righteous.

54. /green"roohm/ n. a lounge, as in a theater, for use by performers when they are not onstage.

55. /juy"roh/, n. GYROSCOPE.

56. /heuhrang"/, n. a long, passionate, and vehement speech, esp. one delivered before a public gathering.

57. /hek"teuhr/ v.t. to harass or urge by bullying.

58. /huy"euhdoohl'/ n. (in the ancient world) a slave attached to the temple of a particular deity.

59. /heuhmol"euhgeuhs/ adj. having the same or a similar relation; corresponding, as in relative position or structure.

60. /hum/, v.i. to give forth an indistinct sound of mingled voices or noises.

61. /his"teuhree"sis/ n. a lag in response exhibited by a body in reacting to changes in forces, esp. magnetic forces, acting upon it.
1/1/im pray"zeuh/, n. an emblem.
1/3 /in'deuhvij"ooheuhlee/ adv. one at a time; separately.
1/1 /in"roh/, n. a small lacquer box with compartments for medicines, cosmetics, etc.,
worn on the waist sash of the Japanese kimono.
1/1 /in ter"euhbang'/ n. a printed punctuation mark, designed to combine the question
mark (?) and the exclamation point (!), indicating a mixture of query and interjection.
1/1/uyseuhmawr"feuhs/ adj. (of a chemical compound or mineral) capable of
crystallizing in a form similar to that of another compound or mineral.
1/1/jog/ v.i. to run at a slow, steady pace.
1/1 /ker"euhtin/ n. a tough, insoluble protein that is the
main constituent of hair, nails, horn, hoofs, etc., and of the outermost layer of skin.
1/1 /koo roohsh"/ n., a monetary unit of Turkey, equal to 1/100 of a lira.
1/1 /lath"euhriz'euhm/ n. a painful disorder esp. of domestic animals caused by
ingestion of a poison found in certain legumes of the genus Lathyrus and marked by spastic
paralysis.

1/2 /lengkth/ n. the measure of the greatest dimension of a plane or solid figure.
2/14 /limp/ v.i. to proceed in a lame, faltering, or labored manner.
1/4 /loj"ik/ n. the system or principles of reasoning applicable to any branch of knowledge or study.
1/4 /lus"tee/, adj. full of or characterized by healthy vigor.
2/2 /ma mil"euh/, n. any nipplelike process or protuberance.
1/4 /mas"teuhree, mah"steuh-/ n. command; grasp.

1/4 /mem'euhran"deuhm/ n. a short note designating
something to be remembered.
1/2 /mid"n/ n. a dunghill or refuse heap.
1/1 /mis"iv/ n. a written message; letter.
80..800/4 4/8 /mon"yeuhmeuhnt/ n. something written, esp. a legal document or a tribute to a person.

81..810/5 3/8 /mul"teuhpleks'/ adj. of, pertaining to, or using equipment permitting the simultaneous transmission of two or more trains of signals or messages over a single channel.

82..820/7 5/10 /nay"cheuhr/ n. the particular combination of qualities belonging to a person, animal, thing, or class by birth, origin, or constitution; native or inherent character.

83..830/2 1/1 /nik"euhteen/ n. a colorless, oily, water-soluble, highly toxic liquid alkaloid, found in tobacco and valued as an insecticide.

84..840/9 3/6 /nawrm/ n. a behavior pattern or trait considered typical of a particular social group.

85..850/2 3/3 /euhb strukt"/ v.t to block from sight; be in the way of (a view, passage, etc.).

86..860/3 1/2 /on'euhmat'euhpee"euh, n. the formation of a word, as cuckoo or boom, by imitation of a sound made by or associated with its referent.

87..870/11 1/1 /os"i keuhl/ n. a small bone.

88..880/3 2a/5 /oh"veuhr cheuhr n. a. an orchestral composition introducing a musical work, as an opera.

89..890/5 2/2 /pah"peuh, peuhpah", n FATHER.

90..900/1 6/10/pahstehr euhl, pah"steuhr/- adj pertaining to or designating the herding of domesticated animals as the chief means of subsistence.

91..910/2 2/3 /huyeur"ling/ n. a person who works only for pay, esp. in a menial or boring job, with little or no concern for the value of the work.

92..920/5 2/3 /pet"ee/ adj. Law. small; petty; minor.

93..930/6 1/9 /pik"up/ n. an improvement, as in health, business conditions, production, etc.

94..940/8 1a/9 /plan"it/ n. any of the nine large heavenly bodies revolving about the sun and shining by reflected light: Mercury, Venus, Earth, Mars,
Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, Neptune, or Pluto in the order of their proximity to the sun.

95..950/6 1/9 /poyz/ n. a state of balance or equilibrium, as from equality or equal distribution of weight.

96..960/1 5/10 /peuhzes"/ v.t. to have as belonging to one; have as property; own.

97..970/8 1/2 /pree nayt"l/ adj. previous to birth or to giving birth; antenatal: prenatal care for mothers.

98..980/6 1/4 /pruy vay"sheuhn/ n. lack of the usual comforts or necessaries of life.

99..990/4 1/1 /pros'en sef"euhlon/ n. the forebrain.

100..1000/8[2] 1/3 /pun*cheuhn/ n. a heavy slab of roughly dressed timber for use as a floorboard.

101..1010/4 3/12 /kween/ n. a woman, or something personified as a woman, preeminent in some respect

102..1020/8 1/2 /ran"sid/ adj. having a rank, unpleasant smell or taste: rancid oil.

103..1030/9 1/2 /ri kawrd"/ v.t. to set down in writing or the like, as for the purpose of preserving evidence.

104..1040/3 1/12 /rel"euhtiv/ n. a person who is connected with another by blood or marriage.

105..1050/2 1/1 /ri sawrb"/ v.t. to absorb again, as an exudation.

106..1060/7 1/1 /ruy"bohs/ n. a white, crystalline, water-soluble, slightly sweet solid, a pentose sugar obtained by the hydrolysis of RNA.

107..1070/3 4/6 /roohm, room/ n. pace or extent of space occupied by or available for something

108..1080/2 3/3 /rooth/ n. self-reproach; remorse.

109..1090/2 2/2 /sa"fuehr in/ adj. deep blue.

111..1110/1 1] 5/30 /see/, v.t. to accept or imagine as acceptable I can't see him as
president.

112..1120/4 1/5 /seuhreen*/ adj. calm; peaceful; tranquil.

113..1130/8 1/2 /shel"fuyeur/ n. the firing of explosive shells or projectiles. 114..1140/2
4/11 /sig"nl/, n. a token; indication.

115..1150/2 6/8 /skuy/ v.t. to raise, throw, or hit aloft or into the air. 116..1160/3 1/3
snee"king/ adj. acting in a furtive or underhand way. 117..1170/3 1/2 /sawr*tl ij/ n.
divination by the drawing of lots.

118..1180/7 1/6 /spuyl/ n. a peg or plug of wood, esp. one used as a spigot. 119..1190/10 2/2
/sree, shree/ n. a respectful title of address prefixed to a man's name in India; Mr.


121..1210/1 5/12 /streek/ n. a flash leaving a visible line or after effect, as of
lightning; bolt.

122..1220/2 1/1 /sub"soyl/ n. the bed or stratum of earth immediately under
the surface soil.

123..1230/1 5/12 /seuhplay"/ v.i. to substitute for another, esp. in the pulpit of a
church.

124..1240/2 1/2 /sim"peuhthuy'zeuhr/ n. a person who is in approving accord
with a cause or person.

125..1250/19 1/1 /tan"zee/, n. any of several composite plants of the genus
Tanacetum, esp. an Old World herb, T. vulgare, having clusters of tubular yellow
flowers.

126..1260/9 1/1 /ten"it// n. any opinion, principle, doctrine, dogma, etc., esp.
one held as true by members of a profession, group, or movement.

127..1270/10 1/5 /thik/ adj. having relatively great extent from one surface to
the opposite.

128..1280/4 2/2 /tim*id/, adj. indicating fear or lack of assurance.

129..1290/4 2/2 /tawr"teuhs/ n. a very slow person or thing.

130..130/3 2/11 /trans pawrt*/ v.t. to carry away by strong emotion; enrapure.
131..1310/4 1/2 /trol"euhp/ n. an immoral or promiscuous woman, esp. a prostitute.
132..1320/6 1/2 /tweet/ n. a chirping sound, as of a small bird.
133..1330/3 2/2 /un klohzd"/ adj. not concluded or settled.
134..1340/8 2/5/un pak"/ v.t. to remove (something) from a container. 135..1350/10 5/7
/vay"keuhn see/ n. lack of thought or intelligence; vacuity. 136..1360/3 2/8 /verr"teks/ n. the top of the head.
137..1370/7 [1] 1/1 /vohlt/ n. the SI unit of potential difference and electromotive force, equal to the difference of electric potential between two points of a conductor carrying a constant current of one ampere, when the power dissipated between these points is equal to one watt.
138..1380/15 3/3 /wosh*owt/ n. Informal. a. a complete failure or disappointment.
139..1390/16 5/17 /wet/ adj. allowing or favoring the sale of alcoholic beverages
140..1400/5 1/5 /wilt/ v.i. to become limp and drooping, as a fading flower or parched plant; wither.

C3 Keyboard-friendly pronunciation symbols. . . . This treatment of pronunciation has been adapted from the electronic edition of the Random House College Dictionary), which uses keyboard characters to represent standard worldwide American pronunciation English (SWAPE or Ameriphonics for short). Hence representations of foreign language and American dialect pronunciations are here excluded in the interests of worldwide consistently intelligible “platform” speech.” as the 1934 Webster’s Second International called it.

VOWELS. . . . A SOUNDS: a..as in act /akt/ ah..as in star /stahr/ ay..as in age /ayj/ . . . E SOUNDS: air..as in dare /dair/ e..as in edge /ej/ ee..as in bee /bee/ err..as in burn /berm/. . . . I SOUNDS: ear..as in cheer /cheair/ i..as in big /big/ uy..as in ice /uys/ . . . O SOUNDS: aw..as in ball /bawl/ o..as in ox
/oks/ oh..as in boat /boht/ ow..as in cow /kow/ oweur..as in hour /oweur/ * oyas in oil /oyl/

SOUNDS: euh..as in alone /euh lohn"/ oo..as in book /book/ ooh..as in ooze /oohz/ u..as in sun /sun/ NOTE: This keyboard-character system also uses the nasal and lateral consonants N, and L to represent syllables, e.g. BUTTON /but"n/ and LITTLE /lit"l/

CONSONANTS. . . . b..as in back /bak/ ch..as in beach /beech/ d..as in bed /bed/ dh..as in that /dhat/ f..as in fit /fit/ g..as in give /giv/ h..as in hit /hit/ hw..as in where /hwair/ j..as in just /just/ k..as in keep /keep/ l..as in low /loh/ m..as in him /him/ n..as in now /now/ ng..as in sing /sing/ p..as in pot /pot/ r..as in read /reed/ s..as in see /see/ sh..as in shoe /shooh/ t..as in ten /ten/ th..as in thin /thin/ v..as in voice /voys/ w..as away /euh way"/ y.. as in yes /yes/ z..as in zoo /zooh/ zh..as in treasure /trezh"euhr/

STRESS. . . . " (double quotes) = primary stress. . . . ' (single quote) = secondary stress
NOTE. Both of these are positioned AFTER the stressed syllable. Syllable divisions not identified by stress indicators are indicated by blank spaces, e.g., as in alone /euh lohn". The absence of a space between syllables indicated they are to be pronounced as a unit, almost as one, cf. CARDIOVASCULAR /kahr'dee oh vas"kyeuhleuhr/ . . .
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3D Answer Key for Previous 140-Question Test... one-word answer first, then “vowel surrogate” multiple choice asking for the SECOND SPELLING LETTER vowel via (a) for A, (b) for E, (c) for I, (d) for O, (e) for U or “none of these.”

1..10/5 acedia (b) . . . 2..20/6 aegis (b) . . . 3 30/6 alcohol (d) . . . 4..40/5 amendment (b) . . .
5..50/6 annals (a) . . . 6..60/6 aport (d) 7..70/5 arresting (b) . . . 8..80/6 atonality (d) . . . 9..90/6
baba (a) . . . 10..100/6 barberry (b) . . . 11..110/5 because (a) . . . 12..120/6 bidding (c) . . .
13..130/7 blastoderm (d) . . . 14..140/20 bondwoman (d) . . . 15..150/5 bravissimo (c) . . .
16..160/9 buffet[2] (b) . . . 17..170/14 caballero (a) . . . 18..180/14 canorous (d1) . . . 19..190/8
castigate (c) . . . 20..200/12 certificate (c) . . .

21..210/9 chiaus (a) . . . 22..220/8 cinematheque/ (b) . . . 23..230/5 clone (b) . . . 24..240/6
colleague (b) . . . 25..250/5 compendium (b)
26..260/5 conglomerate (d) . . . 27..270/9 controversy (4) . . . 28..280/5 cosmology (4) . . .
29..290/6 crayfish (c) . . . 30..300/5 cub (e) . . . 31..310/5 cypress (b) . . . 32..320/7 decade
(a) . . . 33..330/5 demonstration (d) . . . 34..340/8 dexter (b) . . . 35..350/5 directory (b)
36..360/6 diuretic (e) . . . 37..370/5 drawdown (d) . . . 38..380/8 duck [2] (e) . . . 39..390/5
clogue (d) . . . 40..400/5 elliptical (c) . . .

41..410/1 enplane (a) . . . 42..420/9 escapement (a) . . . 43..430/5 excise (c) . . . 44..440/17
fabric (c) . . . 45..450/6 faun (5) . . . 46..460/12 filament (a) . . . 47..470/10 fleawort (a) . . .
48..480/1 football (d) . . . 49..490/3 fray[2] (e) . . . 50..500/3 furor (d)
51..510/5 gavotte (d) 52..520/5 gin[2] (e) . . . 53..530/8 good (d) . . . 54..540/11 greenroom
(b) . . . 55..5550/5 gyro (d) . . . 56..560/4 harangue (a) . . . 57..570/6 hector (d) . . . 58..580/4
hierodule (b) . . . 59..590/7 homologous (d) . . . 60..600/4 hum (e)
61..610/4 hysteresis (b). . . . 62..620/2 impresa (2). . . . 63..630/4 individually
c). . . . 64..640/7 (d). . . . 65..650/5 interrobang (b). . . . 66..660/3
isomorphous (d). . . . 67..670/5 jog (d). . . . 8..680/10 keratin (a). . . . 69..690/7 kurus (e). . . .
70..700/3 lathrysm (e). . . . 71..710/2 length (e). . . . 72..720/5 limp[1] (e). . . . 73..730/2 logic (c). .
. . . . 74..740/4 lusty (e). . . . 75..750/8 mammilla (c). . . . 76..760/19 mastery (b). . . . 77..770/5
memorandum (d). . . . 78..780/13 midden (b). . . . 79..790/6 missive (d). . . . 80..800/4 monument
(e).

81..810/5 multiplex (c). . . . 82..820/7 nature (e). . . . 83..830/2 nicotine (d). . . . 84..840/9 norm
(e). . . . 85..850/2 obstruct (e). . . . 86..860/3 onomatopoeia
d). . . . 87..870/11 ossicle (c). . . . 878..880/3 overture (b). . . . 89..890/5 papa (a). . . . 90..900/1
pastoral (d). . . . . . 91..910/2 hireling (b). . . . 92..920/5 petit (c). . . . 93..930/6 pickup (e). . .
94..940/8 planet (b). . . . 95..950/6 poise
c). . . . 96..960/1 possess (b). . . . 97..970/8 prenable (a). . . . 98..980/6 privation (a). . .
99..990/4 prosencephalon (b). . . . 100..1000/8 puncheon[2] (b)

101..1010/4 queen (b). . . . 102..1020/8 rancid (c). . . . 103..1030/9 record (d). . . . 104..1040/3
relative (a). . . . 105..1050/2 resorb (d). . . . 106..1060/7 ribose
110..1100/6 scrappy[2] (a) . . . 111..1110/1 see[1] (b). . . . 112..1120/4 serene (b). . .
113..1130/8 shellfire (c). . . . 114..1140/2 signal (a). . . . 115..1150/2 sky (e). . . . 116..1160/3
sneaking (b). . . . 117..1170/3 sortilege (c). . . . 118..1180/7 spile (b). . . . 119..1190/10 sri (e).
. . . . 120..1200/1 stem[2] (e)

121..1210/1 streak (a). . . . 122..1220/2 subsoil (d). . . . 123..1230/1 supply
. . . 127..1270/10 thick (e). . . . 128..1280/4 timid (c). . . . 129..1290/4 (d). . . . 130..1300/3
transport (d). . . . . . 131..1310/4 trollop (d). . . . 132..1320/6 tweet (b). . . . 133..1330/3
unclosed (d). . .
134..1340/8 unpack (a). . . 135..1350/10 vacancy (a). . . 136..1360/3 vertex (b). . . 137..1370/7 vol[t][1] (e). . . 138..1380/15 washout (d). . . 139..1390/16 wet (e). . . 140..1400/5 wilt (e).

***

***
Chapter 4. Measuring and Increasing the Mastery of 162 High Tech Vocabulary Fields

WARNING: In using field labels, watch out for potential confusion between field labels themselves and context word in a definition e.g., ARMOR; or between abbreviation and word, e.g. GRAM (for grammar) and GRAM (unit of measurement). The full version (40,000 high tech terms) can be accessed as “An Access Dictionary of High Tech Internationalist English” via http://www.npe.ednews.org/Review/Resources/HighTechDictionary.pdf


Genetics (304) Geog/raphy (76) Geology (604) Geometry (230) Gk [Greek] and Rom/an
Antiq/uities (50) Glassmaking (25) Golf (240) Gram/mar (913) Gymnastics (60) Heraldry (400)
Hinduism (190) Hist/ory (360) Horol/ogy (80) Horse Racing (50) Hunting (270)

Immuno/logy (600) Insurance (320) Irish Legend (20) Jainism (10) Jazz (170) Jewelry (130)
Journalism (120) Judaism (150) Law (2109) Library Science (60) Ling/uistics (359) Liturgy
(40) Logic (380) Mach/inery (300) Mathematics (1289) Masonry (180) Mech/anics (80)
Med/icine (944) Metal Working (80) Metall/urgy (300) Meteoro/logy (283) Mexican Cookery
Myco/lagy (180) Naut/ical (1252)

Numismatics (40) Ophthal/mology (180) Opt/ics (800) Ornithol/ogy (123) Parl/iamentary
proc/edure (15) Pathol/ogy (2113) Petrog/raphy (40) Pharm/acy (952) Philately (60) Phonetics
(304) Photog/raphy (330) Physical Chem/istry (150) Physical Geog/raphy (40) Physics (1289)
Psychiatry (236) Psychoanal/ysis (80) Psychol/oogy (361)

Radio and Television (1100) Railroads (150) Real Estate (160) Rhetoric (86) Rocketry (90)
Rom/an Cath/olic Ch/urch (400) Rom/an Hist/ory (50) Scand/inavian Myth/ology (80)
(320) Survey/ing (120)

Telecommunications (70) Television (750) Textiles (160) Theat/er (210) Theology
(150) Thermodynam/ics (70) Transportation (150) U.S. [United States] (3000) U.S. Govt
Whist (40) Wrestling (50) Zoology (874)

***

***
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Chapter 5. Measuring and Increasing Famous-Name Vocabulary Size

Both formally and informally, our best test of famous-name literacy is our knowledge of chronology as indicated by our ability to answer spot questions like “Who was born first: Benjamin Franklin or George Washington? The correct answer is nearly always a matter of public record, and the challenge can be increased by offering more choices. Best of all, it builds a “big picture” of who was doing what when by encouraging logical guessing.

Overall, of course, any famous-name question thrown at us is itself always worth questioning. Some celebrity names in crossword puzzles disappear rather quickly; others stay on and even find their way into the dictionary. Still others are clearly more familiar than others, as indicated by how well the family as a whole does on specific Jeopardy questions.

Unfortunately, since those who devise tests are invariably very reluctant to describe their premises and procedures, test takers rarely get their questions answered beyond the implicit reassurance of “Trust us — We’re professionals!”

The best way to understand how test makers work (or should work) is to devise one on our own. As far as celebrity names go, our best bet is to let someone else choose them for us, namely, an authoritative biographical dictionary, in which case we can rank them in terms of how many lines appear in their entries. Other ranking criteria could include (a) the number of citations in a data base like Info-Trac, (b) the number of name-as-subject hits in a library catalog, or even (c) the number of hits on an internet check.

Once we have a ranked list of names, our next challenge is to construct test questions that also have clearly verifiable correct-incorrect answers, such as “Who was born first — A, B, or C?” or (more difficult) “Who died first?” or “Who lived longest?” Since dictionaries also list nationality and profession, another practical question is “What nationality is listed in dictionary X for Name A?” (note how “listed” will produce a clearly verifiable correct-incorrect answer. Still
another is “What profession is listed FIRST in dictionary X for Name A? Of the alternatives
here, “general” turns up most frequently, along with “author.”

Practically considered, we can give our test a professional appearance if we translate it into
an abc (or abcd-e) multiple-choice format. One way to do this is to list our alternatives on a
separate sheet and identify them simply as N1, N2, N3 . . . . N100, etc. With this done, we can
then phrase each question in general terms and score them all with a simple abcde key, as in the
following:

Q-Type 1. . . . Please indicate which of the following (the full names appear on an accompanying
list) was born FIRST. Resolve any ties alphabetically. Your alternatives are (a) N1, (b) N2, and (c)
N3.

Q-Type 2. . . . Please indicate which of the following, if any, is identified FIRST as a “general.”
Resolve any ties alphabetically. Your alternatives are (a) N1, (b) N2, (c) N3, (d) N4, (e) none of
these.

5A Professional Categories. . . . The prompt “general” can be replaced with a wide range of
alternatives. The biographical names section at the end of Merriam Webster’s Collegiate
Dictionary (eleventh editions) lists the following professions first under C: Cabeza de Vaca to
Cambaceres: explorer, novelist, navigator, navigator, explorer, founder (of X), poet,
statesman, composer, adventurer, novelist, novelist, sculptor, president (of X), dramatist,
author, politician, emperor, prime minister, author, soprano, general, sculptor, philosopher,
orator, proprietor (of X), theologian, chemist, jurist. As this list stands, incidentally, it’s almost
like a crossword-puzzle list, e.g., “a soprano beginning with CAL-, or “an explorer beginning
with CAB-.

REGROUPING. . . . If desired, these could be grouped under four main headings: (a)
warfare and politics, (b) science and technology, (c) literature and the arts, (d) philosophy and
religion. . . . This step, however, would introduce a personal-judgment factor, as opposed to
the explicitly verifiable citation of dictionary evidence.
DEVELOPING AN ONOMASTICAL PERSPECTIVE. . . . Today historians still argue about who is more important in the history of our planet: famous names or gradual changes. But practically considered, proper names are still our best tool for record keeping. Even more important, going blank on proper names is today seen as a symptom of early senile dementia (Alzheimer's): less serious than going blank on words, but worrisome just the same. So feel free to track names in the same way we track words — source, combining elements, changes in meaning, etc.

5B Who's Truly Who. . . . A Ranked List of 665 Most Verifiably Famous Names. . . . This is a ranked list of famous names based upon the number of lines allocated to each in Webster's New Biographical Dictionary, Merriam Webster, 1988 (WNBD). . . . Rank appears first, followed by number of entries, followed by name and other information (as presented in NEBD).

For convenience the names appear in groups of ten, the first two of which separate rank and number of entries by slashes. For subsequent economy, the slashes are dropped beginning with the third group. The Preface to WNBD describes it as "wholly revised and reedited," including a "greatly increased" coverage of the "non-English part of the world," while at the same time retaining a relatively "fuller and more detailed" treatment of American, Canadian, and British subjects.

Since living persons are excluded, the WNBD will probably strike some Americans as overly emphasizing Dead White British Male Parliamentarians and Politicians. But as matters stand today, the NEBD as of 2006 is clearly our most accessible and authoritative tool to use in strengthening and testing — onomastically, as it were — the civilizational literacy of Americans, young and old, in 2006. . . . The first numeral indicates the name's rank, the second numeral indicates the number of lines in its entry. Additional descriptive words (titles, etc.) that appear in NMW have been retained.
Napoleon I
Cromwell, Oliver
Michelangelo
Charles II, King of England
Washington, George
Edward III, King of England
Hitler, Adolf
Franklin, Benjamin
Milton, John
Scott, Sir Walter
Charles I, King of England
Augustus, Gaius
Louis XIV, King of France
Crammer, Thomas
Hyde, Edward, 1st Earl of Clarendon
More, Sir Thomas, Saint
Tennyson, Alfred, Lord Tennyson
Wilson, Woodrow
Columbus, Christopher
Drake, Sir Francis
Edward IV, King of England
Pitt, William, the Younger
Churchill, Sir Winston
Edward I, King of England
Elisabeth I, Queen of England
Penn, William
Churchill, John, 1st Duke of Marlborough
Defoe, Daniel, MOLL FLANDERS
Lenin, Vladmir
Mozart, Wolfgang Amadeus
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>/30</td>
<td>Swift, Jonathan, GULLIVER’S TRAVELS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>/29</td>
<td>Bismarck, Otto von</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>/29</td>
<td>Nelson, Horatio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>/29</td>
<td>Sun Yat-Sen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>/29</td>
<td>William I, King of England, the Conqueror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>/28</td>
<td>Caesar, Julius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>/28</td>
<td>Henry VIII, King of England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>/28</td>
<td>Raleigh, Sir Walter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>/28</td>
<td>Shakespeare, William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Balzac, Honore de, PERE GORIOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Dryden, John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Napoleon III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Newman, John Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Prokofiev, Sergey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Roosevelt, Theodore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Wagner, Richard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Wesley, John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>/27</td>
<td>Wordsworth, William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Byron, George Gordon, Lord Byron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Chaucer, Geoffrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Dante Alighieri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Darwin, Charles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Fredrick II, King of Prussia, the Great</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Hugo, Victor, LES MISERABLES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Mendelssohn, Felix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Morris, William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Muhammad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Mussolini, Benito</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>/26</td>
<td>Woolsey, Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>/25</td>
<td>Browning, Robert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>/25</td>
<td>Charles V, Holy Roman Emperor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cooper, Anthony Ashley, 1st Earl of Shaftsbury
Emerson, Ralph Waldo
Freud, Sigmund
Galilei, Galileo
Jesus
Mary Stuart, Queen of Scots
Mill, John Stuart
Bacon, Francis
Beethoven, Ludwig
Bolivar, Simon
Burke, Edmund
Clemens, Samuel, Mark Twain, Huckleberry Finn
D'Annunzio, Gabrielle
Dickens, Charles, David Copperfield
Gandhi, Mohandas, Mahatma
Grant, Ulysses S.
Johnson, Samuel
Leonardo da Vinci
Liszt, Franz
Massine, Leonid
Monck, George
Montfort, Simon de
Peel, Sir Robert
Pilduski, Josef
Rousseau, Jean-Jacques
Ruskin, John
Schilling, Johann
Shaw, George Bernard
Sheridan, Philip Henry
Stanley, Sir Henry Morton
Wayne, Anthony, Mad Anthony
Wellesley, Arthur, 1st Duke of Wellington
Coke, Sir Edward
Fremont, John Charles
Irving, Washington
Jefferson, Thomas
Picasso, Pablo
Poe, Edgar Allan
Rembrandt van Rijn
Victoria Queen of England
Wells, Herbert George, THE TIME MACHINE
Chamberlain, Neville
Chang Kai-Shek
Howells, William Dean, THE RISE OF SILAS LAPHAM
James I, King of England
Livingstone, David
Mao Tse-Tung, Mao Ze-Dong
Nehru, Motilal
Parnell, Charles
Pretorius, Andrew
Roosevelt, Franklin
Sidney, Sir Philip
Stravinsky, Igor
Turner, Joseph
Vega, Lope, de
Wycliffe, John
Antonius, Marcus, Mark Antony
Chamberlain, Joseph
Cobbett, William
Edwards, Jonathan
Hannibal
Henry IV, King of France
Ibsen, Henrik
Irving, Sir Henry
James, Henry, THE AMBASSADORS
Laplace, Pierre-Simon
Lincoln, Abraham
Meredith, George, THE ORDEAL OF RICHARD FEVEREL
More, Hannah
Pym, John
Shelley, Percy Bysshe
Stalin, Joseph
Stevenson, Robert Louis
Addison, Joseph
Attaturk, Kemal
Cervantes, Miguel de
Charlemagne, Charles the Great
Cicero, Marcus Tullius
Diderot, Denis
Disraeli, Benjamin
Edward VII, King of England
Goethe, Johann
Lully, Jean-Baptiste
Meternich, Klemens
Owen, Robert
Peshkov, Aleksey, Maxim Gorky
Pitt, William the Elder
Rhodes, Cecil
Rupert, Prince
Russell, John, 1st Earl
Venizelos, Eleutherios
Walpole, Sir Richard
Antiochus III, the Great
155 /19 Bach, J.S.
156 /19 Benso, Camillo, Count Cavour
157 /19 Coverdale, Miles
158 /19 Cowper, William
159 /19 Darius I, the Great
160 /19 Donne, John
161 /19 Gaulle, Charles de
162 /19 Groot, Hugh de, Grotius
163 /19 Hammerstein, Oscar
164 /19 Humboldt, Alexander von
165 /19 Joan of Arc
166 /19 Kant, Immanuel
167 /19 Lafayette, Marie-Joseph
168 /19 Lessing, Gottfried
169 /19 Louis XV, King of France
170 /19 Matisse, Henri
171 /19 Plessis, Armand-Jean du, Cardinal Richelieu
172 /19 Pope, Alexander
173 /19 Priestly, Joseph
174 /19 Sheridan, Richard Brinsley
175 /19 Sullivan, SirArthur
176 /19 Voltaire, Francois-Marie Aroet, CANDIDE
177 /19 Whitman, Walt
178 /19 Wilkes, John
179 /19 Wilkinson, James
180 /19 William I, Stadtholder of the Netherlands, the Silent
181 /19 Zola, Emile, NANA
182 /18 Blucher, Gebhard
183 /18 Calvin, John
184 /18 Carlyle, Thomas
185 /18 Coleridge, Samuel Taylor
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cortes, Hernando</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cromwell, Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Edward, Prince of Wales, the Black Prince</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Fox, Charles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Handel, George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>James II, King of England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Lomonsov, Mikhail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Louis-Philippe, King of France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mehmed II, the Conqueror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Middleton, Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Millais, Sir John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Montague, Charles, 1st Earl of Halifax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Montessori, Maria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Murray, Gilbert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Nansen, Fridtjof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Paine, Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Plato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Pole, Reginald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Spencer, Herbert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Thackeray, William, VANITY FAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Webster, Noah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Whistler, James McNeil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Wilde, Oscar, THE PORTRAIT OF DORIAN GREY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Aristotle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Athnasius, Saint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Belloc, Hilaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Bentham, Jeremy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Boccaccio, Giovanni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Brahms, Johannes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Canning, George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Carnegie, Andrew</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clive, Robert
Constantine I, the Great
Cook, James, Captain Cook
Davis, Jefferson
Dickenson, John
Diocletian, Gaius, Roman Emperor
Edward, Anglo-Saxon king of England, the Confessor
Eisenhower, Dwight
Gutenberg, Johannes
Henry II, King of England
Jackson, Andrew
Jung, Carl
Lee, Robert Edward
Linne, Carl von Linnaeus
Louis XVI, King of France
Margaret of Anjou
Molotov, Vyachelav
Philip II, King of France, Philip Augustus
Philip II, King of Spain
Philip IV, King of France, the Fair
Pound, Ezra
Prester, John
Schonberg, Arnold
Sherman, William Tecumseh
Stephen, King of England
Tchaikovsky, Pyotr Ilich
Thomson, William, 1st Baron Kelvin
Welles, Orson
William III, Stadtholder of the Netherlands and King of England
Wright, Frank Lloyd
Wright, Wilbur and Orville
Abelard
Albertus Magnus
Alexander II, Czar of Russia
Alexander III, the Great
Aquinas, Saint Thomas
Bell, Alexander Graham
Bernini, Gian/ Giovanni
Boyle, Robert
Bulow, Bernhard von
Catherine II, the Great
Caxton, William
Cobden, Richard
Cocteau, Jean
Conrad, Joseph
Cornwallis, Charles, 1st Marquis
Curzon, George, 1st Baron
Dostoyevsky, Fyodor, THE BROTHERS KARAMAZOV
Douglass, Fredrick
Dudley, Robert, 1st Earl of Leicester
Duns Scotus
Eden, Sir Anthony
Edison, Thomas Alva
Edward VIII, King of England
Einstein, Albert
Farragut, David
Fletcher, John
George III, King of England
Gershwin, George
Gluck, Christoph
Gregory VII, Saint
Gustavus II, King of Sweden
279 /16 Hamilton, Alexander
280 /16 Hauptmann, Gerhart
281 /16 Henry VI, King of England
282 /16 Hung Hsiu-Chuan, Chinese religious leader
283 /16 Huxley, Thomas Henry
284 /16 Jeanneret, Charles le Corbusier
285 /16 Kaganovich, Lazar
286 /16 Kipling, Rudolf, KIM
287 /16 Laban, Rudolf
288 /16 Marie Antoinette
289 /16 Melanchthon, Philipp
290 /16 Mommsen, Theodore
291 /16 Montmorency-Bouteville, Francois-Henri
292 /16 Moore, George
293 /16 Moore, Thomas
294 /16 Nero, Roman Emperor
295 /16 Neville, Richard, Earl of Warwick, the Kingmaker
296 /16 Pasteur, Louis
297 /16 Peary, Robert
298 /16 Peter I, Czar of Russia, the Great
299 /16 Petrarch, Francesco
300 /16 Selden, John
301 /16 Siddartha Gautama, the Buddha
302 /16 Smith, Joseph
303 /16 Southey, Thomas
304 /16 Strindberg, August
305 /16 Sullivan, John
306 /16 Vaughn Williams, Ralph
307 /16 Vecelli Tiziano, Titian
308 /16 Wallenstein, Albrecht
309 /16 Wieland, Christoph
310 /15 Akbar, the Great
311 /15 Alexander I, Czar of Russia
312 /15 Ambrose, Saint
313 /15 Attila, the Scourge of God
314 /15 Auden, Wystan Hugh
315 /15 Balfour, Arthur
316 /15 Brecht, Bertholt
317 /15 Briand, Aristide
318 /15 Britten, Benjamin
319 /15 Bryan, William Jennings
320 /15 Calderon de la Barca, Pedro
321 /15 Canute, the Great
322 /15 Casaubon, Isaac
323 /15 Charles, Duke of Burgundy, Charles the Bold
324 /15 Charles VII, King of France
325 /15 Chekhov, Anton
326 /15 Chopin, Fredric
327 /15 Christian IX, King of Denmark
328 /15 Cleopatra VII, Queen of Egypt
329 /15 Cooper, James Fennimore
330 /15 Davenant, Sir William
331 /15 Diaz deVivar, Rodrigo, El Cid
332 /15 Douglas, Stephen, the Little Giant
333 /15 Eleanor, Queen of England and Acquitaine
334 /15 Eugene, Prince of Savoy
335 /15 Francis I, King of France
336 /15 Fredrick William, the Great Elector
337 /15 Freneau, Philip
338 /15 Galsworthy, John, THE MAN OF PROPERTY
339 /15 Garcia Lorca, Federico
340 /15 Gide, Andre, THE COUNTERFEITERS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>341</td>
<td>Giraldi Giambattista, Cinthio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>Gladstone, William Ewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>Gordon, Charles, Chinese Gordon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344</td>
<td>Gossec, Francois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>Grimm, Jacob and Wilhelm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>Harun al Rashid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347</td>
<td>Hastings, Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348</td>
<td>Hayden, Franz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>349</td>
<td>Hearst, William Randoph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>Hemholtz, Hermann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351</td>
<td>Henry, Patrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>352</td>
<td>Henry III, King of England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>Hobbes, Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>354</td>
<td>Holmes, Oliver Wendell, the Older</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355</td>
<td>Houston, Samuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>356</td>
<td>Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>Ibn Saud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>358</td>
<td>John, King of England, Lackland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>Kandinsky, Wassily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>Kokoschka, Oskar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>Leibniz, Gottfried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>Louis XVIII, King of France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>363</td>
<td>MacCleish, Archibald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
<td>Marlowe, Christopher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>Masefield, John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>Mazzini, Giuseppe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367</td>
<td>Mies van der Rohe, Ludwig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>Mill, James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
<td>Morris, Gouveneur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>Nietzsche, Fredric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371</td>
<td>Ockham, William of Occam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

54
Photius, Patriarch of Constantinople
Pius II, Pope, Aeneas Sylvius
Porter, Cole
Ptolemy I, Ptolemy Soter
Pushkin, Aleksandr
Rameau, Jean-Philippe
Robespierre, Maximilien
Rogers, Richard
Rossetti, Dante Gabriel
Sandburg, Carl
Seneca, Lucius, the Younger
Shostakovich, Dimitry
Smollett, Tobias, RODRICK RANDOM
Steele, Sir Richard
Strauss, Richard
Tallyrand, Perigord
Theodosius the Great
Thompson Benjamin, Count Rumford
Trajan, Roman Emperor, Germanicus
Trumbull, John, American painter
Vanderbilt, Cornelius
Wallace, Alfred
Webb, Beatrice
William I, Emperor of Germany
William IV, King of England, the Sailor King
Williams, Roger
Agassiz, Louis
Alcott, Amos Bronson
Ashurbanipal
Berlioz, Hector
Bjornson, Bjornstjerne
403 /14 Burns, Robert
404 /14 Cabot, John
405 /14 Calder, Alexander
406 /14 Cardano, Geronimo
407 /14 Chamberlain, Sir Austen
408 /14 Charles, Archduke of Austria
409 /14 Chateaubriand, Francois
410 /14 Chesterton, Gilbert Keith
411 /14 Cochrane, Thomas, Lord
412 /14 Danton, Georges
413 /14 Devereux, Robert, Earl of Essex
414 /14 Digby, Sir Kenelm
415 /14 Drayton, Michael
416 /14 du Pont de Nemours, Pierre
417 /14 Duffy, Sir Charles
418 /14 Eliot, Sir John
419 /14 Ferdinand I, Holy Roman Emperor
420 /14 Ferdinand II, King of Aragon
421 /14 Franco, Francisco
422 /14 Fulton, Robert
423 /14 Garibaldi, Guiseppe
424 /14 Gautier, Theophile
425 /14 Hawthorne, Nathaniel, THE SCARLET LETTER
426 /14 Henry IV, King of England
427 /14 Hogarth, William
428 /14 Hooke, Robert
429 /14 Hsuan-yeh, Chinese Emperor
430 /14 Hunter, John
431 /14 Hus, Jan
432 /14 Huygens, Christian
433 /14 Ibn al-Arabial Andalus
Ibrahim Pasha
Ignatius of Loyola, Saint
Ivan IV, Czar of Russia, the Terrible
Jacoba, Countess of Holland
James III, King of Scotland
Jaspers, Karl
John of Austria, Don Juan
Josephus Flavius
Kemal, Mehmed
Kepler, Johannes
Kettering, Charles
Kiesler, Fredrick
Kleist, Heinrich von
Klopstock, Freidrich
Kotzebue, August
Kung Chiu, Confucius
Kuo Mojo
Lagrange, Joseph-Louis
Lamarck, Jean-Baptiste
Lamb, Charles
Lang, Andrew
Llull, Ramon, Raymond Lully
Mantegna, Andres
Marcus Aurelius, Roman Emperor
Maria Theresa
Marin, Thomas
Marston, John
Marvell, Andrew
Marx, Karl
Massinger, Philip
Mauriac, Francois
Maurras, Charles
Maximilian, Emperor of Mexico
Mithradates VI, Eupator, the Great
Moliere, Jean-Baptiste
Montherlant, Henri-Marie
Mordaunt, Charles
Morgan, John Pierpont
Morse, Samuel
Muir, John
Ney, Michel
O'Connell, Daniel, the Liberator
O'Donovan, Michael, Frank O'Conner
Pascal, Blaise
Pepys, Samuel
Pessoa, Fernando
Petrie, Sir Flinders
Petty, Sir William
Philip, Landgrave of Hesse, the Magnanimous
Pirandello, Luigi
Pitty-FitzMaurice, Henry
Poincaré, Raymond
Porter, William Sidney, O Henry
Quiller-Couch, Sir Arthur
Rambert, Dame Marie
Sadat, Anwar
Seleucus I
Solon
St. John, Henry, 1st Viscount Bolingbroke
Steuben, Baron Friedrich von
Stevens, John
Stewart, Lord James
496 /14 Stowe, Harriet Beecher
UNCLE TOM’S CABIN
497 /14 Strauss, Johann, the Waltz King
498 /14 Swedenborg, Emanuel
499 /14 Theodoric, the Great
500 /14 Theotokopulos, Domenikos, El Greco
501 /14 Tiberius, 2nd Roman Emperor
502 /14 Tieck, Ludwig
503 /14 Tolstoy, Lev, Leo WAR AND PEACE
504 /14 Trotsky, Leon
505 /14 Velasquez, Diego
506 /14 Verdi, Giuseppe
507 /14 Walker, William, the filibuster
508 /14 Walpole, Horace, THE CASTLE OF OTRANTO
509 /14 Wheelock Eleaszar
510 /14 Whitefield George
511 /14 William II King of England, Rufus
512 /14 Wodehouse Sir Pelham Grenville
THE INIMITABLE JEEVES
513 /14 Xavier, Saint Francis
514 /14 Zangwill, Israel
THE CHILDREN OF THE GHETTO
515 /14 Zinoyiev, Grigory
516 /13 Abdul Hamid II
517 /13 Alexander I, Prince
518 /13 Alexander VI, Pope
519 /13 Alfred the Great
520 /13 Arnold, Benedict
521 /13 Augustine, Saint
522 /13 Baker, Sir Samuel
523 /13 Blake, William
524 /13 Brougham, Henry
525 /13 Bruno, Giordano
526 /13 Buchanan, George
527 /13 Carteret, Sir George
528 /13 Cecil, William
529 /13 Chambers, Sir Robert
530 /13 Charles XII, King of Sweden
531 /13 Charles Edward, the Young Pretender
532 /13 Chatterton, Thomas
533 /13 Cohan, George M.
534 /13 Coligny, Gaspard II, Admiral
535 /13 Conde, Louis II, the Great Conde
536 /13 Cruikshank, George
537 /13 Dalton, John
538 /13 Daly, Augustin
539 /13 Dampier, William
540 /13 Darrow, Clarence
541 /13 DavySir Humphry
542 /13 DawesCharles
543 /13 DekkerThomas
544 /13 Desmoulins Camille
545 /13 DeweyJohn
546 /13 DouglasGavin
547 /13 Doyle, Sir Arthur Conan
548 /13 Dumas, AlexanderDumas, Pere
549 /13 Eliot, Thomas Stearns
550 /13 Emin Pasha, Mehmed
551 /13 Erasmus, Desiderius
552 /13 Eyck, Hubert van
553 /13 Faraday, Michael
554 /13 Faulkner, WilliamAS I LAY DYING
555 /13 Francis of Meyronnes
556 /13 Fredrick II, Holy Roman Emperor
557 /13 Fredrick William III, King of Prussia
Gamow, George
Gascoigne, George
Gates, Horatio
Gauguin, Paul
Giotto
Granville-Barker, Harley
Griffith, Arthur
Hadrian, Roman Emperor
Hearn, Lafcadio
Hecht, Ben
Hegel, Georg
Henry, Prince of Portugal, the Navigator
Henry VII, King of England
Heraclius, Emperor of the Eastern Roman Empire
Herder, Johannes
Hindemith, Paul
Hood, Thomas
Howard, Thomas II, 3rd Duke of Norfolk
Howard, Thomas III, 4th Duke of Norfolk
Hung-Li, Chinese Emperor, Chien Lung
Innocent III, Pope
Ives, Charles
John Maurice, Count of Nassau, the Brazilian
John the Fearless, Duke of Burgundy
Jonson, Ben
Knox, John
Koch, Robert
KomenskyJan, Comenius
Langley, Samuel
Lavoisier, Antoine-Laurent
Lawrence, David Herbert WOMEN IN LOVE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>589</td>
<td>Lawrence, Thomas Edward Shaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>Lee, Richard Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>Leopold I, Holy Roman Emperor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>Leveson-Gower Granville, George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593</td>
<td>Lewes, George Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>594</td>
<td>Lewis, Wyndham THE APES OF GOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>595</td>
<td>Locke, John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>596</td>
<td>Lowell, James Russell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>597</td>
<td>Lubbock, Sir John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>598</td>
<td>Lubitsch, Ernst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>599</td>
<td>Lucas van Leyden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>MacArthur, Douglas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601</td>
<td>Madison, James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>602</td>
<td>Marot, Clement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603</td>
<td>Marshall, John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604</td>
<td>Martinozzi, Gyorgy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605</td>
<td>Masaryk, Tomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606</td>
<td>Mason, George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607</td>
<td>Massena, Andre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608</td>
<td>Mather, Cotten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>609</td>
<td>Maurice of Saxony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>Mead, Margaret</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>Mencken, Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>Minamoto, Yoritomo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>Moses ben Maimon, Maimonides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>Moutbatten, Louis, 1st Earl Mountbatten of Burma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>Mussorsky, Modest Petrovich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616</td>
<td>Nabokov, Vladmir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>Nash, Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>618</td>
<td>Noyes, John Humphrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619</td>
<td>Noyes, John Humphrey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
620 /13 Oates, Titus
621 /13 Offenbach, Jacques
622 /13 Patrick, Saint
623 /13 Perry, Matthew
624 /13 Pinero, Sir Arthur Wing
625 /13 Piozzi, Hester, Mrs. Thrale
626 /13 Pizarro, Francisco
627 /13 Pynne, William
628 /13 Pui,. last Emperor of China, Henry Pu Yi
629 /13 Pusey, Edward
630 /13 Radek, Karl
631 /13 Ramsay, James Andrew
632 /13 Raphael, Sanzio
633 /13 Ravel, Joseph-Maurice
634 /13 Ray, John
635 /13 Reynolds, Sir Joshua
636 /13 Richard III, King of England
637 /13 Root, Elihu
638 /13 Rosecrans, William
639 /13 Rossini, Giocchino
640 /13 San Martin, Jose
641 /13 Satie, Erik
642 /13 Schlegel, Fredrich von
643 /13 Schurz, Carl
644 /13 Sennacherib
645 /13 Skelton, John
646 /13 Smith, John
647 /13 Soult, Nicholas
648 /13 Stanley, Edward George
649 /13 Suarez, Francisco
650 /13 Swinburne, Algernon
Szilard, Leo
Taft, William Howard
Temple, Henry John
Tesla, Nikola
Tilden, Samuel
Tokugawa Ieyasu
Tyndall, John
Victor Emmanuel II, King of Italy
Webster, Daniel
Wesley, Charles
Williams, Tennessee
Winthrop, John
Wotton, Sir Henry
Young, Brigham
Yuan Shih-Kai

***

***
Chapter 6. Measuring and Increasing High-Speed Nonfiction Narrative Reading Achievement

From a reader’s point of view, the bookseller’s distinction between fiction and nonfiction obscures the extraordinarily close relationship between biographies, officially a nonfiction form, and novels, both of which are similar in length and in narrating a "story" (short for history) that focuses upon the adventures of a central character. While our concern will center upon biographies, our so-called “reader friendly” testing system, as we'll see, works just as well with novels.

6A Reader Friendly Testing and the Transparent Fairness of Page-Position Recollection . . .

There’s nothing new about personal-choice testing. Our local gyms are packed with Americans working out alone (echoing Robert Putnam’s “Bowling Alone”) and measuring their personal-best achievement. Nor is there anything new about a one-size-fits-all test. Music professors have always given students position-sequence tests that work with a wide range of listening-experience challenges, much like asking a four-year-old, “Whom did Dorothy meet FIRST on the Yellow Brick Road — the Cowardly Lion, the Tin Woodman, or the Scarecrow?”

As far as books go, long and short, all that’s called for in this kind of test is to choose an appropriate number of pages from the target and put them in a random sequence. Afterwards, sequence clues having been removed (page numbers, etc.), the test taker can be asked to put the pages back in their correct sequence. Here’s a short example using full pages selected from Beatrix Potter’s “The Tale of Peter Rabbit.” In this example, the pages are conveniently short, often containing no more than one sentence, but the recollection is even more powerful with full length pages of 400 words.

By way of self-persuasion, simply reread four full pages chosen at random from a book, fiction or nonfiction, you’ve read — even one from ten years back. You’ll be amazed at how much your “subconscious memory” of the book will be reactivated.
A PETER-RABBIT READER-FRIENDLY TEST. . . . Here are four full pages selected AT RANDOM from our book-target and identified as pg. A, pg.B, pg.C, and pg.D...................................... Pg.A: And rushed into the tool shed, and jumped into a can. It would have been a beautiful thing to hide in, if it had not had so much water in it. . . . Pg.B: First he ate some lettuces and some French beans; and then he ate some radishes. . . . Pg.C: Mr. McGregor hung up the little jacket and the shoes for a scare-crow to frighten the blackbirds. . . . Pg.D: “Now run along, and don’t get into mischief. I am going out.”


A position sequence test like this, especially with 350-word pages, is much more friendly to unsophisticated actual readers of the book, young and old, than to highly sophisticated nonreaders. It therefore encourages high speed, high volume recreational reading, since all that’s measured is whether each page has received a reasonable amount of attention, as opposed to intense study and analysis. Any personal-best reader can therefore (many have) spend less than half an hour making up his or her book-based test in advance, put it away, read the book, and then use the test as a do-it-yourself challenge — just like stepping on the scales after a week or so of vigorous exercise.

Low-cost reader-friendly tests like this have been used with large-scale fiction programs in the Los Angeles Unified School District and with nonfiction on the university level (California State University, Northridge). There’s no doubt, of
course, that they encourage guessing and that their difficulty will vary with the strength of a target’s overall narrative structure (short-story anthologies don’t work at all well). The page-cue booklets, usually ten full pages are admittedly cumbersome.

But these weaknesses cancel themselves out in large scale reading programs for either individuals or groups. A hundred novels averaging 300 pages apiece (400 million words of personal-choice reading) translates into 400 study hours, which is roughly equivalent to 15 Carnegie units (a full semester) of college credit.

Books, pages, words, reading rates, study hours — this presumptive time-commitment can be monitored officially or unofficially, as we have seen, with book-based reader-friendly tests. Similarly, the presumptive educational impact of, say, an overall 70% achievement level can be tracked via established achievement tests: spelling achievement, vocabulary growth, general knowledge, and even writing skills. All this with very little input from teachers and other voices of authority — just like a long term personal-best exercise program monitored by standardized tests covering weight, body fat, muscle tone, pulse rate, blood pressure, blood samples, etc..


Speed reading and proper-name vocabulary growth are natural partners. As Frank Smith has put it, we comprehend what we read because we already know 50% of what’s on the page in front of us, including proper names and allusions. And conversely, we expand our knowledge of proper names by encountering them again and again in nonfiction books intended for the general reader. Most literary nonfiction prizewinners fall into this category, just as most of them consistently cite the same thousand culturally important proper names —Caesar, Julius, and Churchill, Winston, still have impressive index visibility, I have noticed.
Reading is for Americans today what the weather was for Mark Twain. We all talk about it but nobody does anything, especially when it comes to recapturing our traditional recreational-reading pace of 600 words per minute. By way of giving aspiring high speed readers, young and old, some productive tools to work with, here’s a book list that can fairly be described as authoritative, practical, and testable.

**AUTHORITY. . . . Webster’s New Biographical Dictionary (Merriam Webster). . . .**

Biographies are the best target for aspiring high speed readers in terms of what might be called the Flesch-Hirsch Principle. Rudi Flesch pointed out long ago that proper names are a key element in a book’s readability, and E.D. Hirsch, Jr. has stressed the importance of a familiarity with culturally important proper names (“cultural literacy”) as a key element in a reader’s ability to comprehend who’s doing what to whom on the page in front of him or her. This “virtuous circle” means that reading biographies quickly helps us learn who’s who, which then helps us to read even more quickly and retentively, which means we then read even more quickly, etc., etc.

For aspiring high speed readers some proper names (e.g. Napoleon) are bound to turn up more frequently on the printed page than others, and hence can fairly be described as more important. The Merriam Webster (MW) explicitly indicates the relative importance of biographical subjects via the number of lines allocated to each of its roughly 30,000 biographees: 88 for Napoleon versus 9 for Elvis Presley and 5 for Mary Cassatt. Consequently, even though the actual books may vary in quality, we can fairly use a number-of-lines criterion to indicate their potential importance and usefulness for aspiring high speed readers.

**JOHN T/ GILLESPIE’S BEST BOOKS FOR HIGH SCHOOL READERS. . . .** To most American librarians John T. Gillespie is a familiar and important name. My own local library, for instance, has eleven books of his on its shelves, ranging from those centering upon professional concerns (library collections, Newberry Award, etc.) to special bibliographies for special age groups and a recent (Greenwood, 1994 with Catherine Barr) comprehensive work that includes a
hundred pages of recently published biographies that can fairly be described as both respectable and readable.

What follows presents the intersection of Merriam Webster’s biographical dictionary with GILLESPIE. This means that every biography in GILLESPIE has been checked in MW to produce a ranked list of biographees, each followed by at least one specific title. Since GILLESPIE emphasizes readability at the ninth-grade level, the titles are ideal for high speed readers of all ages. In addition, since the MW status of their subjects guarantees their proper-name relevance, the titles offer aspiring high speed readers, young and old, the opportunity to build up their “famous name vocabulary” page by page and book by book — quickly and productively.

This list should not be taken as ruling out personal selections, especially in a tutorial or home schooling setting. From a personal-best learning perspective, though, I feel this list deserves to be taken very seriously by personal-best learners. These biographies are all short and readable, which means the learner can cover almost three times as much Famous-Name territory (including minor actors) in the same reading time.

In addition, especially at the top, the list offers plenty of choice. Read fast but give each page a fair share of your time, and trust your personal mind-set to absorb what’s interesting to you. Later on you can tackle the great biographers and historians with a confidence-building reader-friendly knowledge base.

**6C The 405 Best Biographies in English for Beginning High Speed Readers. . . . Preliminary Note:**
This list is based on two primary sources: *Webster’s New Biographical Dictionary* (Merriam Webster) and *Best Books for High School Readers*, John T. Gillespie and Catherine Barr (Greenwood, 2004).

The titles appear in three groups: Major Figures (those centering on people whose entries in Merriam Webster comprise at least 13 lines); General Interest (those whose entries comprise between 12 and 8 lines); and Special Interest (those whose entries comprise between 7 and 4 lines. . . . Depending upon what’s available in GILLESPIE, as many as three titles may be listed for Major
Figures, and as many as two for the category General Interest, with only one for the category Special Interest.

The presentation sequences is that of (a) importance rank (based upon number of entries, with ties resolved alphabetically; (b) biographical subject (last name first; (c) number of entry lines (in parentheses); (d) title of the biography or autobiography (rare); (e) author (s), last name first; (f) publication date. The biographical subjects are presented in groups of five.

The list can be searched for specific biographees by using MW as an alphabetical index leading to the number of lines and hence to the list location. GILLESPIE can be searched for additional title information (grade level, etc.) via its content groupings: Adventurers and Explorers; Artists and Architects; Authors; Performers; Presidents and Their Families; Other Government and Public Figures; Science, Medicine, Industry, and Business; Sports Figures; and World Figures.

REGARDING ACCESS. . . . Most public libraries offer internet access to their catalogs. So I've checked the overall availability of the above titles. Somewhat to my surprise, it turns out to be surprisingly good, just as the list of books under the category of Juvenile Biography turns out to be surprisingly big. Even though, like book stores, libraries sing the praises of juvenile fiction, it's the biographies they keep year after year and the novels they throw away.

6C1 A Ranked List of 450 Famous-Name Biographies. . . . The number of Merriam Webster biographical lines Is indicated in parentheses.


* 


*


*


28 Victoria, Queen 23 7891 Victoria and Her times. Chiflet, Jean-Loup, and Alain Beaulet (1996)/ Her Little Majesty: The Life of Queen Victoria. Erickson, Carolly (1997)/


38 Cervantes, Miguel de (20) Miguel de Cervantes. Goldberg, Jake (1993)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>Abraham Lincoln</td>
<td>David, Donald</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>With Malice Toward None: The Life of Abraham</td>
<td>Oates, Stephen B.</td>
<td>1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lincoln</td>
<td>Sandburg, Carl</td>
<td>1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Cortes</td>
<td>Hernando Cortes: The Great Adventurer</td>
<td>Lilley, Stephen R.</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Davis</td>
<td>Jefferson Davis: President of the Confederacy</td>
<td>Burch, Joann J.</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>De Gaulle</td>
<td>Charles de Gaulle</td>
<td>Whitelaw, Nancy</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Joan</td>
<td>Joan of Arc</td>
<td>Stanley, Diane</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Why Not Lafayette?</td>
<td>Fritz, Jean</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Linnaeus</td>
<td>Carl Linnaeus: Father of Classification</td>
<td>Anderson, Margaret</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Matisse</td>
<td>Henri Matisse</td>
<td>Kostenevich, Albert, and Lory Frankel</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A Weekend with Matisse</td>
<td>Roddari, Florian A.</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Rogers</td>
<td>Will Rogers: Cherokee Entertainer</td>
<td>Sonnenborn, Liz</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Whitman</td>
<td>Walt Whitman</td>
<td>Reed, Catherine</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Calvin</td>
<td>John Calvin</td>
<td>Stapanek, Judy</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates a significant figure in history or literature.


65 Garibaldi, Giuseppe (14) Giuseppe Garibaldi. Viola, Herman J. (1987)/ *


GENERAL INTEREST


* 


* 


* 

121 Buck, Pearl (9) Pearl Buck. La Farge, Ann (1988)


* 


134 Shelley, Mary (9) Spirit like a Storm: The Story of Mary Shelley


139 Bethune, Mary McCleod (8) Mary McCleod Bethune. Halasa, Malu (1988)

141 Borges, Jorge Luis 8 Jorge Luis Borges. Kennon, Adrian (1991)


* 


*


*****

SPECIAL INTEREST


*

8 9


175 Crazy Horse (7) The Life and Death of Crzy Horse. Freedman, Russell (1996)
*


*

181 Hammarskjold, Dag (7) Dag Hammarskjold. Sheldon, Richard N.


196 Parker, Quanah (7) Quanah Parker: Comanche Chief. Wilson, Claire (1998).


201 Black Hawk (6) Black Hawk: Sac Rebel. Bonvillain, Nancy (1994)/

202 Bonney, William (6) Alias Billy the Kid, the Man Behind the Legend. Cline, Don (1986).


205 Bowditch, Nathaniel (6).


210 Eastman, George (6) George Eastman. Holmes, Burnham (1002). *


223 Sacagawea (6) Sacagawea. Waldo, Donna Lee (1997)


239 Straus, Levi (5) Everyone Wears His Name: A Biography of Levi Strauss. Henry, Sondra, and Emily Taitz (1990)/


* * *


* * *

* * *
Chapter 7. Measuring and Increasing Textual Memorization and Recitation Skills

Did anyone actually predict Susan Boyle’s sudden rise to fame? Or did any professional educator predict the scale of Poetry Out Loud’s success? — especially its jump from 40,000 participants nationwide to 320,000 in four years. Coming closer to home, since many of us, especially those concerned with K-8 students, may want to use the Poetry Out Loud approach on our own, let’s look at how this brilliant innovation works and how it might be put to use with different groups of learner-reciters.

7A Personal choice. . . . As befits its sponsorship by the National Endowment for the Arts and the Poetry Foundation, Poetry Out Loud (www.poetryoutloud.org) offers an immense range of choice to teachers and students, i.e., over 600 individual poems, including a separate sub-listing of over 200 manageable learning targets of no more than 25. So as far as spelling and format go, the list is internationally authoritative, far more so than, say, Poems to Memorize, which “modernizes” Shakespeare (b. 1564) but retains the quaint memorization-unfriendly spellings of his younger contemporary John Donne (b. 1572).

Practically considered, what Poetry Out Loud offers its participants is an easy-to-copy access list of established ready-to-memorize targets to choose from, along with biographical information on each poet. To use a Chaucerian phrase, it’s as close to Goddes foyson (plenty) as any learner would want — including overseas Ameriphones (over three trillion now) that study and speak standard worldwide American pronunciation English (SWAPE).

TIME. . . . Memorizing takes time. Hence the practicality of the Poetry Out Loud 25-line list for younger learners. Its popularity level is underscored by the fact that 40 out of our “top fifty” poems (based on Grangers® data regarding anthology status) meet this 25-line requirement, and are also in public domain. For learners, since poems vary in their number of lines, line measurements also
work well for time-on-task estimates, i.e., ten minutes per line as a basic memorization figure.

It’s true that this ten-minute estimate gives participants a daunting basis for respecting what lies ahead, e.g., 140 minutes to get preliminary mastery of a 14-line sonnet which in performance as a “moment’s monument” will require only 60 seconds. But the estimate also opens the tortoise-friendly door for less verbally agile students to compete successfully by using their most productive resource — extra learning time.

7B Tests. . . . Poetry Out Loud’s ultimate test is the frightening ordeal of up front public performance, which goes far beyond accurate recollection, spoken or written, to embrace the arts of the orator and the actor. Winston Churchill and Ronald Reagan were eloquent performers of poetry, for example, and so was the great French actress, Sarah Bernhardt, who won her first important audition by dramatically reciting the French national anthem. In an actual program, though, the memorization element is a confidence builder, and so is the gentle progress from classroom interaction to higher level competition.

Our emphasis upon recitation as a primary goal raises serious questions regarding first-step suggestions like “Read the poem aloud.” As opposed to preparation for a poetry “reading,” even an informal recitation-performance requires a first step far more on the order of “Examine the poem’s line-by-line structure.” Comprehension first, then the memorization, and only then the almost endless rehearsals for a spoken and nuanced public triumph — especially in the sense of a major personal challenge met and mastered.

The beauty of structural comprehension is that it opens the door to both self testing and large group multiple choice testing. Even after one silent reading of Frost’s “Stopping by Woods,” most of us can recall in sequence the words which close the first four lines, namely, KNOW, THOUGH, HERE, and (what else?) SNOW. With a little more study, we can also answer questions phrased solely in terms of relative location, e.g., Please identify the word in your chosen poem.
which appears immediately before its second “line closer” word. If your chosen poem is “Stopping by Woods,” your answer would be VILLAGE.

If your chosen poem is “Trees,” on the other hand, your answer would be A (from “as a tree”). Both of these single-letter answers, incidentally can be represented by machine-scored multiple choice alternatives, e.g., a, e, i, o, or “none of these.” Measurable levels of line-learning difficulty and machine-scored tests — these features will open the door for the Poetry Out Load vision to work its magic in many new settings as an encouraging first step toward public recitation. Personal best confidence building, too.

7C Productivity. . . . From the perspective of society and its leaders, the social productivity of time spent in memorizing poems can best be summed up by invoking Daniel Bell’s term “psychological mobility,” which is to say that a functioning society needs citizens who collectively comprehend what is meant by words when used in the context of specific sentences, not just a vocabulary test.

Hence the need for all of us to understand figurative language (metaphor and metonymy), especially when common sense social awareness is officially tested by questions like “What does the expression Two heads are better than one mean to you? Hence also our concern (frustration, too) regarding exactly how to explain what the “right” answer is for questions like these, especially when an older person being diagnosed for senile dementia.

Our most authoritative source of answers to such questions are the actual sentence-phrase examples dictionaries use (e.g., Random House Unabridged) to illustrate specific definitions, as in the question, “In which of the following dictionary definitions of HEAD does the phrase wise heads; crowned head actually appear? — (a) “a person considered with reference to his or her mind, disposition, attributes, status, etc” . . . (b) “the head considered as the center of the intellect, as of thought, memory, understanding, or emotional control; mind; brain” . . . (c) “the maturated part of an abscess, boil, etc. [dictionary-based answer: (a)]
Right now, as many Americans know from direct experience, over a million of us encounter casually chosen diagnostic questions like our “two heads” example. Consequently, given the importance of figurative awareness as a mainstream social survival skill, we can expect future versions of Poetry Out Loud to include senior citizens as participants seeking new challenges and new hope in facing the cognitive hazards of aging — especially in the absence of authoritative professional alternatives.

7D Personal confidence. . . . The mega-increase in Poetry Out Loud participation owes a great deal to its sixfold increase in poems to choose from, including, a strong emphasis upon poets who are still living and creating. As we’ve seen, this new emphasis upon more alternatives for personal-choice learning has clearly increased the number of participants. Even more important, though, it has transformed what was originally a win-lose competition into a personal-best confidence builder. Emotionally at least, this kind of poetry challenge is far more analogous to a local biathlon (biking and running) than to winner-take-all competitions like the Scripps National Spelling Bee.

Given American education’s emphasis upon competition, sometimes with a stacked deck, I feel we can expect to see many derivative versions of Poetry Out Loud in the next few years. Grades one through eight is the most logical locale, of course. But many of us, I’m sure, can imagine poetry recitation spinoffs for Alzheimer’s-fearful senior citizens, and special education students, along with “mentathlons” that require a short, closing recitation requirement for each biathlon competitor. Call it Poetry Out Loud Redux or “Personal Best Cognitive Empowerment” — I believe many Americans will respect and support this new perspective and its challenges.

7E The Role of Modern Metrology. . . . From a practical perspective (that’s what Americans want most, don’t they?) I want to express my indebtedness to the new field of “metrology,” (cf. the relabeling of “Weights and Measures” in states like California and Oregon as “Measurement Standards”). Looking forward, I have high hopes that professional metrologists will respect innovative
programs based on *Poetry Out Loud* as having both an “authoritative” standard (i.e., an “official” text) and a measurement system that is “calibrated” (i.e., identifies different levels of difficulty and achievement).

Though not explicitly mathematical, the arts of poetry are far more quantitative than those of prose. cf. Pope’s “I lisped in numbers for the numbers came.” Even W. Edwards Deming, who worked out a more singable version of the Star Spangled Banner, and his Total Quality Management followers would probably approve the direction in which our *Poetry Out Loud* vision is taking us.

***

***
Chapter 8. Four Paradoxes and the Search for Personal Confidence

Final chapters are usually pretty steamy. In how-to books like this one they usually blow the optimism whistle rather loudly; understandably so, since a how-to book has to be a personal confidence you-can-do-it book too. Practically considered, though, just as it’s the child who decides just when he or she is ready to step into the ocean waves for the first time, so it’s the individual reader who will decide when and how to translate what’s here into personal action.

By way of making the optimism whistle more acceptable later on, I propose to start with a somewhat darker vision — four paradoxes, I call them — that stand a good chance of ringing true to the reader. After this will come the optimism part and its emphasis upon the role of personal confidence in personal best learning.

*The vocabulary-size paradox.* . . . To be an American is to worry about the size of our Ameriphone vocabulary. As children we’re tested by parents and teachers, and we even test each other through riddles, jokes, and various games. As mature adults we worry even more about going blank on words in conversation, usually interpreted as an early symptom of senile dementia. Logically, then, we would expect our society to offer its people access to authoritative tests of vocabulary size — or at least explain why such tests are undesirable.

*The Ameriphone paradox.* . . . In the last twenty years American Pronunciation English has swept the planet, enough so that right now its non-American speakers and students, especially in the Pacific Rim, far outnumber those in the United States. Logically, then, we would expect our legislators and educators to consolidate this linguistic triumph by recognizing Ameriphonics as both a national and international language.

*The dictionary paradox.* . . . In 1960 Americans had access in their homes and libraries to the greatest and most up to date dictionary in the world, namely, the 1934 Webster’s New International Dictionary (second edition). With 600,000
entries and 3850 pages, it was, and still is, far more comprehensive 1961 edition (only 450,000 entries)

Logically, since this 1961 edition continues to be rejected by American newspapers as an authority, we would expect world leadership, if not America’s, to help aspiring learners by designating a full service electronic Ameriphone dictionary for use in vocabulary testing and learning.

_The learning paradox_. . . We are all learners. Tying our shoes, braving the ocean waves, long division — from childhood on, formally or informally, our minds accumulate knowledge, most of it verbal, and physical skills. Logically, we would expect learning to be a central concern for Americans, far more so than “education,” a muddled abstraction which gets 839 million internet hits (almost three times that of “learning.”)

RESOLVING PARADOXES. . . To state a paradox is to recognize the power of both elements, especially the status quo. Our national refusal to measure vocabulary size can certainly be defended as anti-elitist, since high test scores would be mostly earned by persistence and concentration, not natural ability. Similarly, a refusal to accept the global pervasiveness of Ameriphonics fits perfectly with our patriotic support of linguistic diversity in our nation, including our reluctance to identify a “standard American dialect” (“platform speech,” as the 1934 Webster’s described it).

Regarding dictionaries: Our support of a free market in dictionaries for the American public (including the Oxford) is thoroughly justified by the First Amendment. If an American family is offended by Dictionary X’s exile of Jerry Lee Lewis from its fourth edition, they can take their business elsewhere or enroll their children in an appropriate charter school. In the long run, after all, paradoxes like these must be resolved by James M. Buchanan’s “calculus of consent,” not by lexicographers who believe overmuch in their own intellectual authority.

As for “education,” while granting the importance of learning, can anyone deny that education centers upon money, lots of it. Certainly it requires formal
public and private monetary support, lots of it, along with expert teachers to inspire and
lead students in the right direction.

PERSONAL BEST AND PERSONAL CONFIDENCE. . . . Overall what's here has very little to
do with money: dictionaries and computers are cheap, good books are free. On a solitary
personal basis, then, our best option is to treat Ameriphonics as a personal resource that asks
only for the expenditure of time, echoing Andrew Carnegie famous statement that a “library
gives nothing for nothing.”

From a personal best point of view, solitary learning is truly a win-win situation. All that's
required is the expenditure of personal time: relatively little if we’re highly intelligent; much more
if we’re in the “average” category. It's this personal time feature that makes the learning process
“tortoise friendly,” as in the saying. “Concentration trumps Intelligence seven days out of the
week.” Even in formal education taking fewer courses and working hard at each course has
always trumped taking eight and flunking half of them.

Nor should we ignore what might be called “personal worst” here, especially in the wee
small hours of a sleepless night during which all those dark thoughts creep into our pliant
consciousness. To think in words, after all, is to open up their shaping power for personal use
and comfort. Reconstructing long poems, songs, and liturgical passages has always been an
option for our species (especially in prison). So has the creation of original compositions using
traditional forms: sonnets, parodies, even acronyms.

In a world of words — some bad, some frightening — where staying sane is the ultimate
challenge, what we’ve learned on our own (is there any other way?) may not always guarantee
peace of mind. But it certainly can help Ameriphones keep their own heads on straight in a
world — and sometimes an educational system — that often refuses to make sense.
FLEXIBILITY. . . . There’s nothing here that excludes the use of what’s here in formal
Ameriphone educational programs, onshore and off shore. But I’m sure that my partiality to
personal best learning is obvious throughout. Some of that partiality stems from my own
teaching experience with students whose persistence, far more than talent, carried the day for
them.

Most of it, though, stems from my conviction that personal best intellectual achievement — just
like running a marathon — elevates our overall personal confidence level, thereby helping us to
make the Big Jump into stopping smoking or losing a few pounds, or even completing an
ambitious diet-exercise program.

By way of illustration here’s the kind of announcement that some of our local health clubs —
thanks to Poetry Out Loud and dictionary-based electronic learning and testing — may well be
running a couple of years from now.

Dear Member. . . . Welcome to our equipment and our trainers. If you want to lose weight, though,
we strongly recommend that you (a) check out the Poetry Out Loud web site
(www.poetryoutloud.org), (b) choose 3 poems, memorize them, and then recite them to at least
five people as a confidence builder, and (c) come back and start work with a much higher chance
(at least 300%) of success and personal satisfaction. . . .Though Hard Work is the name of our
weight loss game, it’s your own personal confidence that brings you here, and will help you to
achieve your goals — energetically and productively!

For what it’s worth, congratulations on your willingness to explore what’s here, especially if
you’re already thinking about making a personal-best effort on your own. Even more important,
all the best in whatever personal-best achievement goals you set for yourself in the days that lie
ahead. As I hope you discover, those goals can help you take the Future to bed with you each
night — optimistically and productively, even healingly, some might say.

***

***

***
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